

The Discussion on Party Tasks

A MAJOR QUESTION OF COMMUNIST STRATEGY—A MENACE OF DEFEATISM

(Continued from page 5)
This issue of independent political action which is declared "dead" by the present majority will soon be discussed by every labor union from the Atlantic to the Pacific, from the Arctic to the Gulf.

Relying on Demagogy
It is admitted by both the minority and the majority that the present policy for the past two and a half years was SUBSTANTIALLY correct. It has more than any other single factor contributed to the growth of our party. It has placed the Workers Party on the political map of America.

And now the majority of the C. E. C. demagogy before the membership as the party believes. With characteristic demagogy they proclaim that while they want to build the Workers Party the other group propose to build something else.

At this point I want to ask: What did they think they were doing by creating a party which would exist for the past two years and a half? Building the Workers Party or something else? Their present campaign clearly seems to indicate that they had in view something else which did not matter.

Let us put the burning question before our party in this manner:—If our present policy has placed the Workers Party on the political map, what front have in the past brought to the Workers Party certain indefatigable labor unions which are now being put in on the road to becoming mass Communist Party, cannot these gains be continued in the future by a correct application of the party's present policy in so far as to meet changing conditions?

Let us analyze the situation correctly. The present situation is that the LaPolette campaign did not as yet produce a third party. It was conducted by an election alliance which is now dissolving. This great alliance consisted of many different and divergent elements. Shall we now by raising appropriate slogans and making the differences and sharpen these differences, or ignore the whole thing?

Let us analyze the situation correctly. The present situation is that the LaPolette campaign did not as yet produce a third party. It was conducted by an election alliance which is now dissolving. This great alliance consisted of many different and divergent elements. Shall we now by raising appropriate slogans and making the differences and sharpen these differences, or ignore the whole thing?

ALL POWER TO THE MINORITY

FROM BY PAT H. TOOMEY.
The water flowed away before trying to create a third party. The present situation is that the LaPolette campaign did not as yet produce a third party. It was conducted by an election alliance which is now dissolving. This great alliance consisted of many different and divergent elements. Shall we now by raising appropriate slogans and making the differences and sharpen these differences, or ignore the whole thing?

What C. E. C. told us.
The LaPolette movement, or boom, was not a failure. It was a success. It was a success because it was a success. It was a success because it was a success. It was a success because it was a success. It was a success because it was a success.

In June, 1923, we find the following in a manifesto dealing with the application of the united front policy to the present situation. The manifesto of the united front policy was the problem of the formation of a labor party. It was a problem of the formation of a labor party. It was a problem of the formation of a labor party. It was a problem of the formation of a labor party.

party would be affiliated. This campaign has been the major political campaign of our party. We have during this campaign adopted the course of independent working class action and made the farmer-labor party an issue in the American labor movement. We can also say, without danger of the statement being challenged, that our party has the greatest gains for itself thru this campaign for the labor party. It is thru the present party campaign that our party has established itself as a political force in the United States. It is thru this campaign that it has established its prestige and its leadership among the masses of workers and farmers. Nothing has contributed so much to develop our party from a sectarian group to a recognized political force in the life of the labor movement of this country than our maneuvers in relation to the farmer-labor party.

The central executive committee declares that the campaign for a farmer-labor party was a correct estimate of the situation in the United States. It declares further that the campaign for a farmer-labor party must be continued and will be a major campaign of the party in the future.

Why the majority refuses squarely in to meet these issues. They raise in stead many other irrelevant and in consequence useless, and in some ways above while showing no Marxian understanding of the basis for their present position? Comrade Foster in an article some time since said that

THEY ARE MAKING IT UNNECESSARY

By ISRAEL AMTER.
WITH less than 2,000,000 votes conceded to LaPolette and "them," the most important fact that the election of LaPolette is that there is no labor party in sight. The American Federation of Labor, in their 1923 convention at El Paso, Texas, have read airtight the verdict of the American workers and farmers and made a mistake in leadership!

As against perist demagoguery the minority proposes a vigorous campaign for the formation of a mass Communist Party in this country.

"Indeed, such a labor party" would have no use other than to do that which the LaPolette supporters no longer sought to do during the 1923 campaign. I, e., swallow it up, absorb its organization ability, and kill its educational value and its political prestige with the working class.

How familiar these words sound! It is no matter that these are the same articles by Comrades Foster, Dunne, Browder, Cannon and Hittell, who are "spouting" the "line" and over again. They should be accepted as truisms, when our Bolsheviks assert them with such assurance.

But stance as it may appear, the above paragraphs were not written either by Foster, Dunne, Browder, Cannon or Hittell. No, others with all their persuasion, with all their "methods," voice the same sentiments. No, comrades, the above does not come from the brain of perist demagoguery, Browder, Cannon or Hittell. They emanate from the brain of a socialist. It is not Foster who has written the program of the central executive committee, in the issue of the New Leader, the socialist party organ, of Dec. 20.

But what are making it unnecessary? It is Foster who has written the program of the central executive committee, in the issue of the New Leader, the socialist party organ, of Dec. 20.

GITLOW IS NOT A LIAR

By ALEXANDER BITTELMAN.
I did not go in to call Gitlow a liar. Why? Because the case of the majority does not need to resort to the charge of lying. Gitlow is perfectly welcome to the use of all the epithets he can lay his hands on. They will reach him as they reach the leadership is already beginning to open their eyes to the means of "farmer-labor" communism. The party will be able to get into a policy of cooperation. And no amount of mudslinging and innuendo will do us one iota from being the party we think of our farmer-labor.

What Happened at the Hungarian Convention?
Gitlow says Bittelman plainly lied about what happened at the Hungarian convention. It was a success. It was a success because it was a success. It was a success because it was a success. It was a success because it was a success.

This is what actually took place. Does Gitlow deny it? Of course not. How could he deny it? The Hungarian convention accepted the minority position. All this is published reports that by accepting Comrade Gitlow's "Communist" the Hungarian convention would meet opportunistally. Gitlow "captured" the convention means that the convention was captured by farmer-labor ideas. Gitlow says that the convention was captured by farmer-labor ideas. Gitlow says that the convention was captured by farmer-labor ideas.

Gitlow calls this a lie. And how does he prove it? Read the following portion of his article:

"What I should be in answer to the charge that the majority elects the minority liquidators. In reference to this I asked the delegates to examine the program of the minority and their service in the Communist movement to determine if they were not the liquidators. It is in all kinds of situations, and had gone to jail for it. These are facts, Bittelman and the majority cannot deny them. I have a 'example let us take Comrade Rittenberg. Comrade Rittenberg is a member of the central executive committee. He is a member of the central executive committee. He is a member of the central executive committee.

Do YOU NEED ANOTHER? Write Alfred Wegenecht, Campaign Director, 1113 W. Washington Blvd., INSURE THE DAILY WORKER FOR 1923.

BOOKS FOR THINKERS SCIENCE, LITERATURE, ECONOMICS, HISTORY. Any Book in Print at Once. Jimmie Higgins Book Shop 147 University Place New York City. A Workers Party Book Shop

"do for Workers" (Textbook in German) 1923. 10c. "An Elementary Grammar" (in English) 10c. The Workers' Aid Federation Room 5, 805 James St., N. S., PITTSBURGH, Pa.

The Discussion on Party Tasks

In our own organization, the Workers Party, we have a Communist political line, and it is our opportunity for the party to work political strategy. The party to work political strategy. The party to work political strategy. The party to work political strategy.

Now, comrades, what do you think of the situation? The party to work political strategy. The party to work political strategy. The party to work political strategy. The party to work political strategy.

I can well believe to assume that Gitlow does not understand what our party is all about. He may have sincerely believed that the whole right about participating in the existing movement. That is why he has written the above lines to the effect that since the Russian Party

minority did NOT PROVE THEIR CASE

By MORRIS KUSHINSKY.
THE more the discussion of our party's immediate tasks approach, the more it becomes evident that the minority has a very good case in justification of their proposal. The more it becomes evident that the minority has a very good case in justification of their proposal.

For us to come out now in the labor struggle with the slogan, "For a Farmer-Labor Party" would mean at best talking to stone walls.

On the other hand we must not forget that the present situation is different to the issue or satisfied with their conviction that what was accomplished was all that they wanted.

Again in the last pre-election campaign, hundreds of thousands of workers have shown their interest in our speakers who came out on the streets and in halls and in the true meaning of the important tasks to perform than to force upon the American workers

