

WORKERS  
OF THE  
WORLD.  
UNITE

# THE MILITANT



Weekly Organ of the Communist League of America [Opposition]

Published weekly by the Communist League of America (Opposition) at 126 E. 10th Street, New York, N. Y. Entered as second class mail matter, November 28, 1928 at the Post Office at New York, N. Y. under the act of March 3, 1879.

VOLUME VI, NO. 33 [WHOLE NO. 130]

NEW YORK, SATURDAY, JULY 1, 1933

PRICE 5 CENTS

## Workers Slave for Pennies in Penna. Sweat Shops

Paul Comly French, writing in the Nation of May 31, gives an almost unbelievable account of conditions in factories in Pennsylvania: hours of labor of from 55 to 90 a week; wages as low as 3 cents an hour; girls in their early teens forced into sexual relations with their bosses, superintendents and foremen to keep their jobs. There is no limit to which capitalist exploiters will not drive their starved and stunted child slaves.

In the earlier days of capitalism under the banner of "freedom and equality," children were chained to machines so that orderly production would continue. In 1833, as capitalism welters in its decaying stage, under the banner of "rugged individualism" and the "New Deal," girls just emerging from childhood, who are working 55 hours a week for 3 cents an hour, are dragged to New York at night by their masters to give up their last starved bodies to prospective buyers of the shirts their sweated labor created.

At the session of the Pinchot investigation committee on sweatshops the following revealing testimony, taken from the Nation article, was made by the bourgeois of the borough of Northampton, where a child-labor mill is located:

"If I had my way," he told the Governor's commission, "I'd give no food orders to unemployed persons who urged factory workers to strike." And his wife who handles unemployment relief in Northampton, has even more amazing ideas. "I don't believe the strikers should be entitled to any unemployment relief," she testified before the commission, "because they don't have souls."

"In Gottlieb's Sewing Factory at York the usual wage is \$3 or \$4 a week; girls in Bernstein's factory at York reported a payment of \$2.90 for two weeks' work—an average of three cents an hour. At the York Suit Co. button sewers receive from \$3 to \$4 a week for fifty hours; York tobacco workers said they received \$2.50 for a week's work totaling fifty hours, while workers in a second cigar factory in this rich Lancaster Co. agricultural section said their wages averaged \$1.50 for fifty-four hours. A silk mill in York charged girls \$10 for "teaching them how to become operators." Pressers in the Lehigh Valley Shirt Co. in Allentown receive \$4 a week as against \$14 paid for the same work two years ago. At the Adkins Shirt Co. in Allentown only three girls in the plant were over fourteen; their pay averaged from \$1.30 to \$2 a week, while one girl, with seven years' experience, earned the munificent experience, earn-week. One boy in an Allentown shop earned ten cents a week for a ten-week period. . . ."

## STALINISTS BREAK UP LEAGUE MEETING IN N. Y.

On Wednesday night, June 28, the Communist League of America (Opposition) held a meeting at Pitkin and Bristol Streets where about two to three hundred workers, including a number of party members and Y. C. Lers, assembled to listen to the point of view of the Left Opposition. When the last speaker had concluded his remarks he asked for questions from the workers. Up piped a party member, J. M., and demanded nothing short of the platform for as long as he wanted. It was explained to him that it was our platform and our meeting.

The party members then set up a yelling and hooting that would undoubtedly have brought the police had not the speaker from the platform promised to grant him the three minutes that many from the audience urge that he get. He was asked if he would confine his remarks to three minutes and he promised that he would. When he got the platform he immediately reversed his promise to speak for the time granted him and set about telling the workers gathered there that he was going to speak for as long as the workers (read: party members) demanded: "whether for three minutes or one hour."

This was too much for even some of his own followers. One worker declared: "You will speak over my dead body and I am a party member." He was asked to leave the platform and a general melee began. Cries of shame were heard throughout the audience. Many workers were frankly disgusted with the party and Y. C. L. members' tactics.

The meeting was then resumed. Because of the shouting on the part of the party members it was impossible to conduct an orderly meeting with the announcement that we were not going to be driven away and would return to this corner. Our comrades struck up the Internationale which, to the shame of the workers present, the party members refused to sing, and left in a body. —M. GLEBE.

## Return to Narrow Basis at N. Y. Mooney "United Front" Confab

New York, N. Y.—The great promise for the broadening of the united front to free Tom Mooney at the recent National Chicago Mooney Congress was given a deplorable setback by the narrow and overwhelmingly party-packed conference held last Sunday at Irving Plaza in New York. Not only were the delegates for the greater part from the C. P. and its auxiliaries, but the atmosphere of the conference harked back to the old "third period" days when any criticism was met with a hysterical howling from the party followers.

There is a great danger, if the indications at the conference mean anything, that the party will give up its recently adopted turn on the united front and reverse to its ultimatum "united front from below" policy.

The Left Opposition delegates were refused the right to address the conference on the ground that preference must be given to trade union delegates. That this was merely a pretext to prevent the Opposition's voice being heard at the conference was plainly evident by the bureaucratic antics of Robert Minor who carefully sifted the speakers' list before anyone was permitted to get the floor. Minor, who was introduced as the impartial representative of the Tom Mooney Molders' Defense Committee and gave the key-note speech was tireless in his efforts to make what was called as a united front conference into a C. P. convention.

When comrade M. Goldman, L. O. delegate, made a motion that representatives from trade unions and political organizations be given preference on the speakers' list,

Minor suavely and demagogically made an appeal that the motion be voted down and that all delegates be given equal opportunity to speak. How much interest Minor had that all delegates have an equal opportunity to speak was plainly to be seen when in the late hours of the conference he introduced a motion that the last speakers be three trade union delegates and spoke against the amendment of the L. O. delegate; that a representative of the Left Opposition who had not yet been included succeeded in getting the floor amongst the last few speakers.

Despite Minor and the bureaucratic procedure of the conference the delegate of the Left Opposition succeeded in getting the floor when the resolution to be adopted at the conference came up and read a statement. Comrade Goldman, speaking for the L. O., upon being given the floor for a couple of minutes, made the following statement: "The delegates of the Left Opposition accept the draft resolution for the N. Y. Free Tom Mooney Conference and pledge themselves to carry out all the provisions contained therein. We protest however against the undemocratic procedure of the chairman in excluding our representatives from the opportunity of addressing this conference. We state categorically that unless the conference follows democratic procedure the fight to free Tom Mooney is in danger and a united front of working class organizations which Tom Mooney asked for is irreparably harmed."

This statement was received with some degree of sympathy by some of the delegates. (Continued on Page 4)

## The Textile Code Shows Real Face of the 'New Deal'

The past week has seen the publication of the first few proposed industrial codes drawn up under the National Industrial Recovery Act. The most important one advanced is that by the textile industry. The code as proposed exposes in all its viciousness the present program. Where Roosevelt spoke of a maximum 30 hour working week, the manufacturers propose a 40 hour week. Where Roosevelt spoke of a minimum wage, these shameless gentry translate this into the terms of the inflated dollar, and quote ten dollars for the south and eleven dollars for the north.

Protests against this brazen despicable proposal were heard from even the most reactionary of labor leaders. All those labor fakers who for years have done nothing to better the conditions of the most exploited section of our industrial population, the textile worker, have today decided to attempt to rebuild their lost prestige in officially protesting the miserable proposals. It is thus that we find Thomas F. McMahon of the A. F. of L. proposing that instead of ten dollars the minimum wage be set at fourteen, and instead of the forty hour week, the maximum number of hours be set at thirty-five. Today, with the more than 17 million unemployed, with the dollar rapidly falling in value, the proposals of the official A. F. of L. can be looked upon as nothing but an attempt to forestall a real effective movement to resist this starvation program.

Finally, to complete this new deal picture, we see the provisions (Continued on Page 4)

## Roosevelt Program Long-Range Plan to Stabilize U.S. Capitalism On the Backs of the Workers

Situation of American Imperialism in World Economy Forces «New Deal» Demagogues to Reverse Historic Policy - at Expense of Agriculture and the Proletariat. Program Disguised to Enlist Support of Toiling Masses.

Congress has adjourned, leaving in Roosevelt's hands the most far-reaching authority which any American president has ever had in peace-time. How he proposes to use it is becoming increasingly clear—the consolidation of capital into cartels, the beating down of wage standards, the course toward inflation, the aggressive assertion of nationalistic policies at the risk of disrupting the economic conference (allegedly based on international cooperation).

Why is American capitalism, which for so long has raised the slogan, "Take the government out of business" now in need of the Roosevelt program, which does the exact opposite? Why, particularly, is the policy of government intervention in business carried further and further the more evidences accumulate of a temporary and limited upturn in business? Is it not a paradox to see a doctor redoubting his efforts the more signs of convalescence his patient shows? The answer is that the doctor's efforts are not directed to the immediate symptoms which already show some improvement, but to an inner cancer which threatens the very existence of the system. It is not a question of overcoming the

immediate crisis, which had already begun to show signs of slackening a year ago, but of preparing for a long period of violent shocks and disturbances; of economic, social and political upheavals with which the "normal" forces of capitalist recovery are insufficient to cope, but which on the contrary, demand the utmost concentration and mobilization of the economic and political power of the bourgeoisie.

A valuable key is furnished by the famous sentence in the President's inaugural address in which he likened the present emergency to a state of war. The facts themselves clearly show how unlikely it is that he was talking about the immediate banking crisis, or even about the cyclical crisis of business as a whole. The banking crisis began to improve rapidly from the second half of March on; over \$300,000,000 in gold came back to the banks, Federal reserve notes in circulation declined by \$1,500,000,000, and interest rates declined with increasing rapidity. What the Government Accomplished General business, following the sharp slump caused by the banking developments of the month between Feb. 18 (the Michigan moratorium)

and March 15 (reopening of the New York Stock Exchange), resumed the upward trend which had begun as far back as July of last year and had flattened out in the fall, to begin again in the early weeks of the present year. Carloadings, electric power consumption, automobile sales, steel production, and a host of similar indices showed the scope of this improvement. The basis for it is to be found in the establishment of a low level of production costs through the stabilization of commodity prices at a low level; the extensive reductions in wages, leading to an improvement in profit margins; and in the re-accumulation of a substantial fund of liquid capital seeking investment, as the result of far-reaching liquidation and low interest rates. The direct intervention of the government was effective in bringing about recovery, at this stage, only by contributing through prompt action to the reopening of the banks. Since then it began to steer a course toward inflation, which accelerated but did not create the tendency to increased activity.

The emergency with which the Roosevelt legislation is concerned goes further and deeper, and looks forward to a period of years. This can be seen by the scope of the measures themselves, and of the government apparatus which must be provided to make them effective. It involves, in short, the long-term future of American business; that is, an attempt to readjust American economic life to a new set of conditions apart from the "natural" and "spontaneous" recovery which is taking place before our eyes.

Every previous crisis has elosed a similar recovery, which has then passed on into the stage of stabilization or definite prosperity and then into a boom, marked by new high records in production and trade. Sometimes the driving force has been the opening of new territories, or new markets; sometimes big crops, or foreign wars which have stimulated American exports; sometimes the growth of new industries.

None of these factors making for peaceful stabilization and prosperity are even in sight today. On the contrary, the outlook is that the whole post-crisis period will be marked by severe shocks and violent readjustments, both within American economy and in its relations to other countries.

## The Long Range Perspective of U. S. Capitalism

When America was changed from the course of the World War from a debtor to a creditor nation, a tremendous readjustment was needed in every single phase of the national economy. A debtor nation is one which has not fully grown up economically; it imports capital, exports raw materials and foodstuffs, needs a large export surplus with which to pay its debts, has relatively small industry and an imperfectly developed or dependent banking system, a shortage of labor and high wages. Exactly the opposite is true of the economically mature, capital exporting (imperialist) creditor nation. America even before the war, while still a debtor nation, had begun to partake of some of the characteristics of the creditor nation especially in its high state of industrial development.

Since the war, however, its whole economic structure has been shot through with anachronisms and contradictions. Its banking system remained a contradictory two-story affair, on top a few score banks of world importance but with few or no branches at home, and below them, 30,000 country and neighborhood banks, were steadily demoralized down to 20,000, by failures in good times and bad. Agriculture, through rapidly giving way to industry, remained the most important single phase of economic life, providing a huge export surplus annually, contrary to the food and raw materials which industry itself, while rapidly increasing in size and concentration, has been exporting only 10-11 per cent of its output, a much smaller proportion than any other economically advanced power. The huge export surpluses continued, while returns from capital exports were beginning to come in and complicate the transfer of international payments, which the other industrial powers have solved by accepting annually more imports than they

## The Paris Anti-Fascist Congress ... an Anti-Trotskyite Slug Fest

### Empty Parade is a Complete Failure

Paris.—The specter of "Trotskyism" haunted the organizers of the "Pleyel parade" (Pleyel is the name of the hall where the Congress was held—Ed.) from the beginning to the end of the debates. Defeats like that of the German proletariat threw a burning light on all the perspectives established by the Marxist wing years ago. In order that the Centrist policy would not be wiped out by such a congress the bureaucracy had to gag us. In order to mask the responsibility for its criminal policy it had to hang out the white sign of such a comedy of the united front.

The bureaucratic measures were in vain. The Marxist wing of the International, which represents the strength and expresses the hope of the proletarian vanguard, showed the way.

Every day the Centrist bureaucracy reveals to what depths it has degenerated. It is a thermidorian bureaucracy in which the term "revolutionary" serves only as

a pretext to express the pressure of the class enemy on the workers' ranks.

The "Pleyel parade" has come to an end. The pseudo-congress has shown itself unable to solve the deep cracks were revealed in its ranks; the illusion of strength which gave the congress thousands of men, optimistic speeches, brutal sergeants-at-arms were nothing else but expressions of Stalinist impotence.

### The Congress Utilized by French Imperialism

Better yet is that if this congress was not under government protection it played the game of the foreign policy of the Daladier government. By means of an alibi of a letter from the police department Daladier took all the precautions of foreign policy by his dealings with the organizers of the congress, he knew how to use the congress as a "national" reserve.

### Soviet Workers Excluded from the "European" Congress

What is more eloquent on this point was the absence, from this European Congress of any Soviet worker's delegation. That demonstrates, once again, how low this parade stood in the estimation of

U. S. S. R. government circles and the desire of these circles not to break faith with the Hitler Government and Mr. Daladier in any way at all.

### The Marxist Wing Excluded from the Congress—The Preparation

Routledge, Gourdeau's lieutenant, the party's confidence man, maneuvered through the medium of several tricks of the organization committee so as to serve as bait for the socialist "rank and file".

We have already revealed how, in the Parisian district, the Oppositionists after being methodically slugged were systematically thrown out of the district "congress". This was only the expression, on a district scale, of a strained international system. The date of the Congress approached; the stitches of the bureaucratic lining drew closer, and more, it appeared that the Organization Committee desired to systematically eliminate the sections of the Opposition and the Oppositionists from the Congress; in fact, every delegation had to receive the stamp of its national leadership, that is, the Stalinists.

In face of all these facts, the International Secretariat of the Left Opposition sent a delegation to openly pose the question of our admission to the Anti-Fascist Congress. This delegation received an evasive reply. On Saturday, June 3, on the eve of the Congress an official answer was sent us. We publish the letter of the Organization Committee below.

### The Explanations of the Organization Bureau

"TO THE INTERNATIONAL SECRETARIAT OF THE LEFT OPPOSITION OF THE C. I.

"In reply to your letter of May 23, we answer the following:

"The European anti-Fascist workers Congress is a mass congress, to which the largest sections of the toiling masses have given their adherence and have elected, through the prosecution of a common and active anti-Fascist struggle, representatives of the masses of all countries. The groups connected with you do not represent any masses and we know nothing of their participation in the anti-Fascist struggle and in the strengthening of the anti-Fascist front, in connection with the European anti-Fascist Congress.

"The appeal of the organization bureau of February 26 for the convocation of the Congress was addressed to all workers' political and trade union organizations inviting them to adhere to the Congress and to send delegates to it. Participation in the congress presupposes the acceptance of the platform of common anti-Fascist struggle presented in the appeal of the organization bureau.

"We have no communication on the subject of your acceptance of the platform of the Congress and

of your adherence on this basis. On the contrary, Trotsky, your International Secretariat, and the groups connected with you have conducted an infamous campaign against the Congress. (See Unser Wort, organ of your German section). You can no longer ignore the fact that your German group in Paris has made a decision expressly against the Congress and for the boycott of the latter. In your struggle against the Workers Anti-Fascist Congress, that is, against the united front of millions of men for the decisive struggle against the advance of Fascism and for the overthrow of the murderous Fascist dictatorship, the counter-revolutionary Trotskyist group finds itself in a fitting front with the leaders of the 2nd International.

"This is our answer to your request.

"Organization Bureau of the Convocation of the European Workers Anti-Fascist Congress."

Needless to say, we expected such a maneuver; they had already rejected "political organizations" as well as others, with the exception of rank and file organizations, at Amsterdam. All the delegates of the International Opposition who were present in Paris were at the

### Left Opposition's Delegates Beaten

same time delegates of workers organizations and, as such, had the right to enter the Congress. But there also the bureaucrats were watchful. A note appeared in l'Humanite demanding that those wanting to receive his credential had to appear at the Grange aux Belles (Red Trade Union headquarters).

The Left Oppositionists, at this time, were in possession of credentials of the following organizations, for material reasons, were not able to multiply the number of delegates nationally contrary to the Stalinists who multiplied their delegates for each local group.

Spain.—Spanish section of the Left Opposition; Autonomous Building Workers Trade Union; Oil Workers Trade Union of Astillero; United Trade Union of Hierena;

Belgium.—Belgian section of the Left Opposition; Knights of (Continued on Page 4)

## Stalinists Expel 3 L. O. Youth

New York, N. Y.—On June 18, Joe Elliott, Sam Shabel and George Itay were expelled from the Y. C. L. controlled organization, the Student Forum. Their expulsion, based on the filiest and most transparent charges of inactivity, comes as a result of the feeling of alarm among the Stalinists and the growth of influence of the ideas of the Left Opposition among the young workers and students of the locality.

In particular, the Stalinists have become worried over the class on the "History and Principles of the Left Opposition", organized under the auspices of the N. Y. Spartacus Youth Club. This class, led by George Ray, began with an attendance of 18 and has now risen to 30. Joe Ross, president of the Student Forum, attended one session of the class with the aim of "exposing" the Trotskyists. Unfortunately for himself, he succeeded in exposing only his own ignorance.

The expulsions of the three comrades in the Student Forum is only part of the expulsion campaign inaugurated by the Stalinists in the East New York and Brownsville sections. Joe Elliott and M. Layton were expelled from the American Youth Club. Elliott made an application to the Student Forum. The Stalinists wanted to refuse him admission on the grounds that he had been expelled from the American Youth Club. Their proposal was defeated by a vote of 19 to 18. Since then the Y. C. L.

ers have been joining the Forum en masse.

From the Brownsville Youth Club, Morris Shapiro, a member of that organization for seven years, and one of its founders, has been expelled for Trotskyism.

S. Caesar and H. Conroe have been expelled from the Y. C. L., also for Trotskyism. Neither of these comrades has endorsed the program of the Left Opposition, but have been studying it.

In the Student Forum new expulsions have been put on the order of business. At the meeting of June 25 a motion was introduced by a Y. C. L. member to expel Sam Gordon, B. Caesar and B. Lerner for circulating a petition protesting the expulsion of the three "Trotskyists".

20 members signed their names to the petition. The motion was postponed to the next meeting because some entertainment had to be prepared for the evening. However, this was no obstacle to passing a motion barring the 3 expelled "Trotskyists" from appearing in the Forum headquarters. The chairman very democratically allowed two speakers on the motion, one for and one against, each having one minute apiece.

The sum total of all these bureaucratic expulsions is that more and more young workers and students are interesting themselves in learning what actually is the program of the Left Opposition. —GEORGE RAY.

## Scottsboro Decision Reversed

The verdict of guilty brought against Hayward Patterson one of the nine Scottsboro defendants, was set aside on June 22nd by Judge Horton.

This is the second reprieve granted to Patterson, the first having been obtained some time ago through a decision of the United States Supreme Court.

In his statement of opinion accompanying the order for a new trial Judge Horton merely repeated what the defense has been saying from the first. "The testimony of the prosecutrix (Victoria Price) in this case is not only uncorroborated but it also bears on its face indications of improbability and is contradicted by other evidence, and in addition thereto, the evidence greatly preponderates in favor of the defendant."

The change in the point of view of Judge Horton, who but on last Palm Sunday sentenced the convicted youth to death, was hardly the result of "preponderance of evidence". The frame-up evidence had in no way changed since the day the sentence of death was read to Hayward Patterson. The change was not one in the "preponderance of evidence" but rather in the tearing to pieces of the crude frame-up by the united efforts of tens of thousands of Negro and white workers in the struggle for the freedom of the boys.

For the granting of a new trial,

for the saving of the lives of the framed-up Negro boys, the chief credit goes to the I. L. D. and the Communist movement as a whole. Despite all mistakes in the united front maneuvers, despite the playing up of Tammany Hall lawyers, the International Labor Defense was able to make the "Save the Scottsboro Boys" an international slogan that helped to weld the international bonds of the proletariat.

This temporary respite must in no way produce any lull in the building of the only thing that will completely free the boys—a mass movement. The present move on the part of the southern court may be just such a maneuver to foster illusions in the minds of the working class as to the "honesty" of the Alabama Courts. Mass. Aehusetts "honesty" sent Sacco and Vanzetti to their death, Calif. "honesty" sent Tom Mooney to 15 years of a living death, and Alabama "honesty" will attempt to send the Scottsboro Boys to their death. As surely as the present reprieve is the echo of the working class shout in their defense, so will their complete freedom be the result of a mighty protest movement demanding their release. This decision for a new trial must be made the starting point for a whirlwind campaign that will force the complete freeing of all nine boys.

—W. M.

(Continued on Page 4)

# Furniture Union Accepts Left Wing Proposals

"The correctly understood task of the Communist party does not consist solely of gaining influence over the trade unions, such as they are, but in winning, through the trade unions, an influence over the majority of the working class. This is possible only if the methods employed by the party in the trade unions correspond to the nature and the tasks of the latter. . . . If the party buys its influence in the trade unions only at the price of a narrowing down and a factionalizing on the latter, converting them into auxiliaries of the party for momentary aims and preventing them from becoming genuine mass organizations, the relation between the party and the class is wrong. . . . Out of this springs the policy of combinations behind the scenes" of hushing up, of adaptation to the ideas and slogans of others, and finally the complete passage to the positions of opportunism."—Leon Trotsky.

American industry is beginning to stir into open class struggle. Uppermost in the mind of the working class is the burning question: How can these miserable depression wages meet the rising cost of living. Spontaneous, elemental strikes and protests break out with increasing frequency from unexpected quarters and in a surprising manner. What distresses the bosses and annoys the trade union bureaucrats is that this upsurge does not wait for the unions to act, nor does it wait for the "busy season", or for the "better moment", or for the thousand and one excuses of the conservative labor "strategists" for delay. It bursts out for wage increases; it bangs its fist, even in anticipation of the "season"; it gives the bosses a foretaste of what is to follow in the "season".

The furniture industry is no exception to the trend of the day. On the contrary it strikingly illustrates how determined, militant action, in most cases without union leadership, can wrest conditions and wage increases from the bosses by sporadic strikes and stoppages.

Of the three to four thousand upholsterers in greater New York, barely three hundred are organized into both unions: The Furniture Workers Industrial Union and Local 76 of the Upholsterers International, of which the latter though smaller in actual number, has signed agreements with three or four shops. Local 76, in the manner of all A. F. of L. unions, is based on the "job trust", that is, the control of certain shops through agreement with the employer which allows the latter to use the union label on his goods and limits the membership to little more than those working in these shops. This gentlemen's agreement between the union and the boss, while it maintains wages and conditions in the union shops above the general level of the unorganized industry, and offers protection in the form of a strike fund and other considerations; nevertheless, it quite effectively creates a barrier between the unorganized mass, to whom the "union cannot give jobs". This condition stimulates the development of reactionary policy, machine control ignoring of the unorganized and the stifling of the rank and file in the union.

**The Left Wing in the Trade**  
The Furniture Workers Industrial Union was born out of the old Left wing of Local 76 under the leadership of the T. U. L. Under the hysterical lash of the Stalinist "third period" policy, it was not difficult to break off (or have expelled) a substantial group of the union who together with a number of rank and file who had lost their confidence in the reactionary A. F. of L. union formed the Left wing union. In the period of its existence it has advocated the principle of one union for the entire industry and has stressed the shop as the basic unit of the union. It has championed the democratic rights of the rank and file and the idea of an international revolutionary movement of labor. On the other hand, it has succumbed to the adventurist practice of the united front from below and the theory of "social Fascism", which has produced within the union the atmosphere, and without, the policy, of the revolutionary sect.

The discontent and unrest among the furniture workers of New York has had a marked effect on both unions' Sudden Local 76 announces an organization drive. The Industrial union intensifies its efforts. Local 76 makes some headway; the F. W. I. U. leads some small strikes and stoppages. But each, naturally, must undermine the basis of the other union in order to proceed. And they are both met with stony indifference and cynicism on the part of the furniture workers who say: Two houses divided against each other cannot stand. A plague on both your houses.

Realizing the burning need for the unification of the industry, the Left wing elements in both unions have come forward with the demand for trade union unity on the basis of a fighting policy against the boss upholsterers in the coming season' In the Industrial Union, we have fought for close to six months to put the question of the unity of the unions on the agenda of the union. Our proposals were ridiculed and rejected. "No united front with the social Fascist lead-

ers", was the demagogic cry, "only with the rank and file!" And so the Stalinist leadership in the Industrial Union, staffed off the responsibility of approaching the A. F. of L. organization.  
But so vital is the issue among the rank and file of the union that it could not be postponed for long. On May 8, after ordering the Left wing proposals stricken from the minutes' and without much confidence in the outcome of the negotiations, the Stalinist leadership of the union sent a unity proposal to Local 76. Why was it necessary to conceal our policy from the membership by expunging it from the record and then resurrect it as though it were their own? Unquestionably because it was "Trotskyist contraband". And second, because it didn't jibe with the party line. . . .  
In our next article, we will conclude the account of the fight for unity of the furniture workers together with the Left wing program for its achievement.

—CARL COWL  
—SOL LANKIN.

## SPARTACUS CLUB LECTURES

The Spartacus Youth Club will hold the following discussions at open meetings.  
**July 6:** The Student Question—the discussion leader will be comrade Mamie Garrett.  
**July 13:** History of the Marxist Youth Movements, and the Draft Thesis of the Plenum of the Y. C. L. Meetings are at 8:15 Thursday nights at 126 East 16th St.  
On Sunday, July 2, there will be a hike to Forrest Park in Queens. Meet at 126 East 16th St. at 9:30.

## The Columbus Meet and Muste's Labor Party

Current history is harshly proving the Marxian postulate that there is no middle course between the dictatorship of the capitalists and the dictatorship of the industrial working class. The American workers must eventually choose the path of Communism and build their own Soviet United States of America or else endure a period of barbarism. As revolutionary Marxists we can go into the united front with any and all groups in a struggle for immediate, concrete issues and at the same time not give up nor cease to propagate the above idea.  
History has proved that no great social problem will be solved by parliamentary votes alone; advanced workers know that by elections and trade union activity alone it is impossible to permanently raise the standard of living of the working class or put an end to economic crises, unemployment, etc. As revolutionary Marxists the Communists can go into the unions, the unemployed organizations, the fraternal groups, etc.; we can unite in action with any and all working class groups in an effort to win immediate demands, and, at the same time, retain the above position and explain to the workers why we are trying to organize them around a revolutionary program.  
At the July 4th Columbus Convention of Unemployed, called by the CPUSA through the leadership of the Ohio Unemployed League, the Mustites hope to organize a "mass labor-farmer party," or a "united mass party of workers and dirt farmers." It is the duty of every revolutionary worker, and particularly the Communists, to patiently explain the role of the "labor party" to all workers and to persuade them to actively oppose its formation. (In this respect we might advise the Stalinist Central Committee that its faithful followers are patiently "waiting for the party's line and instructions" and are, in the meantime, hesitant in condemning Muste's Labor Party for fear the C.C. might support it.)  
However, should our efforts fail to win the support of the workers and they, in turn, pledge their support to a "labor party," then our task is not to pooh-pooh it from without but to "revolutionize it from within." That is, if, in order to keep contact with a good element of the working class, we are forced into a "labor party," then we must organize ourselves inside as a fraction for the purpose of convincing the workers of the insufficiency and inevitable treacherous impotence of such a party. We must use the "labor party" as an arena in which we shall tactfully agitate for a Communist party. . . . of course, this is a hard job, but then Lenin taught us by example that we should "not drag the labor movement along line of least resistance" He taught us to avoid the "easy" opportunistic road and to "combat spontaneity" by "diverting" the labor movement from its spontaneous trade unionist, "labor party" course into the channels of revolutionary preparation, organization and activity.  
**EXPOSE THE "LABOR PARTY!" SUPPORT THE COMMUNIST PARTY!**

## Militant Strikes on West Coast

Los Angeles.—The city of Los Angeles has witnessed, and is witnessing, the beginning of a wave of strikes as the workers are commencing to think that it is as well to starve fighting as to starve working. About six weeks ago, the Cleaners and Dyers struck. This union is affiliated to the American Federation of Labor: A strike of upholsterers is now going on.  
The two most important strikes, however, are those of the agricultural workers and of the milliners who are in the Left wing Needle Trades Workers Industrial Union.  
The Mexican agricultural workers are a super-exploited section of the Southwestern proletariat. These workers are generally migratory or semi-migratory. Entire families work in the fields, from the little children of six and seven to the adults. The wages paid range from six cents an hour for seven year olds to eight cents an hour for twelve year olds and thirteen cents an hour for adults. Payments for work is highly speculative, as for the Mexican laborer, under constant threat of deportation, is very reluctant about going to the legal channels to collect his wages. The ranchers knew this and have been quick to take advantage of the Mexican agricultural proletariat.  
Beginning as a spontaneous struggle the strikers in this field have now reached the number of 5,000. Arrests of pickets are a daily occurrence but in spite of this the strikers' morale is still high.  
A curious phenomenon in this strike is the attitude of the Mexican government. Ex-president Calles has sent the strikers \$750 and President Rodriguez has sent them \$1,000. The explanation for this most probably is that in order to succeed in present-day Mexican politics with the radicalized workers and peasants one has to be "socialist" or "labor" or "agrarian".  
**Milliners in Militant Strike**  
Another strike now going on is that of the milliners led by the Needle Trades Workers Industrial Union. About four or five weeks ago, Golden Bros., the second largest shop in the city attempted to celebrate the "New Deal" in a fitting fashion. They introduced a piece-work, speed-up system. The workers, amongst whom were a nucleus of Left wingers, stopped work without going down into the street and brought the Golden Bros. to their knees.  
The victory at Golden Bros. became the talk and inspiration of the millinery workers who are most desperately in need of militant organization. The union is growing daily. It has increased its membership ten-fold at least in the last month, since the triumphant stoppage at Golden Bros.  
The millinery trade has a large representation of Communists and sympathizers. The new spirit amongst the workers also had a thawing effect upon the Communists and Left wingers. Long silent, they have once more begin agitating. The next fruits of their agitation has been a strike at Lubes Hat Works, where forty workers walked out demanding the 44-hour week, (they were working 48 hours) division of work, recognition of a shop committee and the cessation of wage cutting.  
A picket line was thrown around Lubes. This line was reinforced with girls from other shops, particularly from Golden Bros. in a demonstration of solidarity. The "Red Squad" did not succeed in intimidating the girls and men on strike. In forty-eight hours, the bosses at Lubes surrendered to all the demands of the workers.  
If the millinery market was astir with hope after the first victory at Golden's, the condition of the workers' minds after the second brilliant victory can only be left to the imagination. The workers were inspired, but the bosses' chief emotion was one of fear and alarm.  
Mr. Sam Golden is the vice-president of the Millinery Ass'n, the bosses' organization. In an evidently planned attack, the bosses of the Golden Bros. shop began to lay the ground work of again attempting to introduce the piece-work system. The workers who are nervously alert demanded of the Golden Bros., the giving up of these plans. When the Messrs. Golden refused, the workers walked out to the number of 70 out of 90 employed. Of the 20 remaining, most of them were relatives. This was about a week ago.  
The first day of the strike witnessed the arrest of two pickets, comrade Elsie Meyers and Helen Costello. This did not in any way frighten the strikers. Picketing kept right on in spite of the most unbelievably brutality of the most despicable collection of human filth which bears the title of "Red Squad". Thursday night six more pickets were arrested. They are

# ON THE WORKERS' FRONT

## Militant Strikes on West Coast

still in jail' as charge after charge is being placed against them making bail impossible to secure. The original strikers, however, are out nearly one hundred per cent.  
Strike meetings, held under the leadership of the chairman of the strike committee, comrade Sam Meyers, an active Left Oppositionist, are as enthusiastic now as on the first day of the strike. The workers are girding themselves for a long time struggle. The bosses are doing like-wise.

The Chamber of Commerce presented Mr. Golden with a \$5,000 check to be used in keeping Los Angeles the "white spot" of the country.  
However, like a pack of wolves, the other manufacturers are snatching the Golden Bros.' orders away as deliveries are not made. Mr. Golden's bank credit is none too high. Victory is possible for the strikers provided the leadership of the union can formulate and apply correct policies.

The need at the moment is a mass picket line. This picket line should bear the character of a united front. The issue is elementary and appeals to the proletarian instincts of every worker of every type of labor organization. Will the leadership of the union make this appeal to every progressive labor organization or will they retreat from their old position of "united front-from-below" only? Or what is still worse, will they give lip service to the idea of a genuine united front and sabotage it in action?

The strike can be won provided a correct policy is followed. The L. O. in Los Angeles will attempt to point out this correct policy inside of the union and in the struggle, itself.

This strike wave particularly in the N. T. W. I. U., the only T. U. L. group of anywhere near a bonafide character in Los Angeles, has caught the party unprepared. Long paralyzed by the ultra-Leftism of the C. I., the worker Communists are re-learning the art of leadership in the every day struggles of the workers. Once liberated from the effects of the "Third Period" they are becoming skillful Communist organizers and not parroting sectarians. In the class struggle itself, the correctness of the views of the Left Opposition are being shown. The worker-Communist, after these struggles, will not be the easy prey for a pen-pushing, "infallible" bureaucrat.

The activities of the L. O. in the agricultural fields, in the Needle Trades workers, in the unemployed organizations are putting the Left Opposition on the map. Our influence is growing rapidly, and while our membership is growing in an extremely slow pace disproportionate with the growth of our influence, organizationally too, we can mark some progress. —C. C.

## Bosses Lockout Pocketbook Workers

### BULLETIN

As we go to press we learn that the leadership of the Pocketbook Workers' Union were forced by the pressure of the workers in the Union to call a general strike in the trade in New York.  
In the past issue of *The Militant* we described the present situation in the Pocketbook Workers Union. Now we will show in brief the development that took place for the last few days.  
Since last week, the Industrial Council of the Leather Goods Employers Association took the offensive and locked out 2000 workers from their shops. The employers are demanding open shops. The union was forced to answer the lockout with a strike against the association shops only.  
The "Fraternal Club" administration did not carry out the decision of the membership to call a general strike in the industry.  
A strike in the Association shops will give the bosses a chance to make their samples in the independent shops. This will prolong the struggle of the workers in the Association shops. The progressives and the Leather Workers Society who are the leaders in the general strike committee are just as responsible as the administration for not calling a general strike in the whole industry.  
Furthermore, at the last meeting of the general strike committee when election of all important committees to lead the strike took place, the Mattins, the David Myers, the Drenowitzes voted against the Left wing to be represented in the important committees. They again united with the "Fraternal Club" against the rank and file. This demonstrates that the administration and their alliance are not interested in a struggle against the employers. They are more interested in the fat plums (for them) of the Industrial Recovery Bill, the way it was explained by our man-

## The Teachers Union and the Fight for Union Democracy

(Continued from last week)

It is no accident that in the period of the "salary attack", from September, 1932 to the present date, the Left wing grew in size and influence, increased in boldness and aggressiveness and pressed the attack more sharply against the union administration. In sounding the alarm in time and conducting a persistent agitation for the union to energetically resist the attack on the teachers' salaries the Left wing was only doing its duty and serving the interests of the teachers and the union. That is why it won the sympathy of a number of teachers and increased its ranks.

The reply of the administration to this campaign of the Left wing was an attempt to silence it by expulsions. In this the administration was continuing the policy which it had adopted against the Left wing in 1931. In that year it proposed the expulsion of the Progressive Group. This was not realized. In June 1932, it attempted to expel the entire Rank and File group. By September of that year the administration changed its plan and proposed to expel only the leading members of the Rank and File. To this list it added a leading member of the Progressive Group. The total number was eighteen. By October this number was reduced to twelve. By the time this proposal reached the membership of the union the number slated for expulsion was reduced to six.

The steady retreat of the administration reflected the pressure of the Left wing and the union membership which the Left wing successfully aroused against these reactionary proposals. The expulsion proceedings, which were begun in June 1932 reached the membership for action April 20, 1933. The sentiment against expulsion was so marked by this time that the administration changed its plans in the meeting and proposed suspension. This was defeated—a victory for the Left wing!

**Disloyalty**  
At the height of its expulsion campaign, the administration resorted to a disloyal act. Upon the request of Lefkowitz, Begun was summoned to appear at a hearing before O'Shea to answer charges which involved his possible dismissal from the school system! At the hearing, Linville, president of the union, testified against Begun! These were acts of treachery. The union exists for the purpose of defending the teachers against abuses by the B. of E. It cannot permit the B. of E. to intervene in its internal affairs. And it cannot tolerate in its ranks, be they rank and file or leaders, informers to the B. of E. against its members.

The expulsion campaign was accompanied by an undercover campaign of incitement of the conservative, and even reactionary preachers of a large number of the union membership against radicalism and Communism. This was the counterpart in the union to the same campaign conducted by the B. of E. in the system generally. It is unquestionable that the present expulsion proceedings of the system by the B. of E. which involves the outstanding militants of the Left wing, is, in large part, the fruit of the expulsion campaign from the union waged by the union administration. For this, too, the union administration must assume its share of responsibility.

## Democratic Rights Violated

The expulsion campaign was also accompanied by the most flagrant violations of the democratic rights of the union membership. Meetings which manifested sympathy with Left wing proposals and which threatened to carry them, were arbitrarily adjourned by the administration on technical pretexts. Motions, resolutions, etc., presented and supported by the administration were railroaded through. Minority points of view were ruled out of order, or denied the right of expression. Contrary to the charge of the administration, the friction and disorder at the union meetings, must be attributed, not to the Left wing minority, but to the arbitrary practices of the administration, which sought mechanically to retain its hold over the membership, often in direct contradiction to the will of the membership itself, in short, by its persistent refusal to recognize, in practice, the right of minorities to exist and function as organized groups, an essential condition of trade union democracy, the administration has demonstrated its reactionary character.

The fight between the administration and the Left wing has been a tense and heated one. If the issues involved have not been made as clear to the union membership as they should have been, due to false policies of the Left wing on many questions and the division in its ranks, this served only to make the fight more heated and to produce a situation in the union which threatened to paralyze it and even included the possibility of a split. The responsibility for this situation lies, principally, on the shoulders of the administration.

**The Special Grievance Committee**  
But the administration tried to put the responsibility on the Left wing. The Special Grievance Committee which was elected to hear the administration's charges against Left wing leaders and report on them, also took up the

ager Stein at the Rand School meeting last Monday. The Left wing must be on guard, mobilize its forces, explain to the workers that our leaders together with the cliques are not interested to fight the bosses, but rather patch up an agreement that may be worse than the present agreement.

It will be of interest for the Pocketbook Workers and for readers of *The Militant* to know to what a deplorable situation the present leadership brought the Pocketbook Workers. We have a manufacturer by the name of Morris White. This employer was and is today one of the largest manufacturers in the industry. Morris White, as many other employers, gambled at Wall Street and invested in other speculations. He lost the money he made from the sweat and blood of his workers during the Wall Street crash. After the crash, Morris White declared himself bankrupt, and closed his factory. The workers walked the streets and starved with their families. When the workers had been starved out, Morris White opened his shop again. He signed an agreement with the union and threw out some 60 per cent of the workers from the shop. This was hailed as a victory by the administration.

At the same time, or before yet, Morris White opened another shop out of town in Bridgeport, Conn. If we would have even an honest conservative administration, the union would have sent out an organizer or a committee from time to time and organize or make an attempt to organize the Bridgeport shop. The present administration did not get in touch with the workers of the Bridgeport shop. The result was that Morris White decided to make up his money he lost gambling in Wall Street as quick as possible, naturally at the expense of the workers as his manager, Walinsky taught him. The philanthropist Morris White saw to it that his workers in the N. Y. shop should not make more than \$10 to \$15 a week. Workers who protested were not given any work altogether. In Bridgeport he paid his workers from \$4 to \$8 a week. In N. Y. shop he made samples, in Bridgeport he made the work of the N. Y. shop, and the workers in the N. Y. shop went around idle.

No matter how many times the workers in N. Y. pleaded with the union officials to take a stand against Morris White but it fell on deaf ears. Morris White gave such treatment to his workers in N. Y. and in Bridgeport that the non-union workers in Bridgeport revolted two weeks ago and went out in strike without the knowledge of the union. According to information, even the Chamber of Commerce urged the workers not to go back to work till employer would grant a raise in wages. The employer did grant a raise of a dollar or two a week. Under the influence of the Chamber of Commerce—left without union guidance—the workers went back to work without union conditions and without recognition of the union.

At the same time, a committee of the N. Y. shop went to Bridgeport to investigate what was taking place there. When Morris White saw the committee he arrested the members and tried to frame the committee men. But he failed in his efforts. The committee was freed. When the committee returned to N. Y., a shop meeting was called. At this meeting the workers forced the union to take a stand and it was decided to call a strike against Morris White's shops.

What does this signify? Had the union been in touch with the workers of Bridgeport, both shops would have struck together, and victory would have been assured against Morris White. This would have been a death blow to these methods and a warning against other employers, especially against the Industrial Council who demanded open shops, and refused to renew the agreement with the union. Our "Fraternal Club" administration have acted the other way around. They have not utilized the discontent of the workers, they have not been in touch with the workers in Bridgeport, and lost the best opportunity to organize the workers. The workers of the Morris White shop in N. Y. are waging a militant struggle, but the situation in Bridgeport is not so rosy due to the negligence of the administration to be on the spot in time and make the necessary preparations.

This shows the workers cannot have any illusions that this leadership can lead the workers to victory. On the contrary, they will lead them to disaster and defeat. —N. D. F.

**THE BULLETIN OF THE RUSSIAN OPPOSITION**  
Articles by LEON TROTSKY  
Letters from the SOVIET UNION  
36 pages 25c. copy  
— Limited number —  
Get your copy now!

# The Teachers Union and the Fight for Union Democracy

(Continued from last week)

It is no accident that in the period of the "salary attack", from September, 1932 to the present date, the Left wing grew in size and influence, increased in boldness and aggressiveness and pressed the attack more sharply against the union administration. In sounding the alarm in time and conducting a persistent agitation for the union to energetically resist the attack on the teachers' salaries the Left wing was only doing its duty and serving the interests of the teachers and the union. That is why it won the sympathy of a number of teachers and increased its ranks.

The reply of the administration to this campaign of the Left wing was an attempt to silence it by expulsions. In this the administration was continuing the policy which it had adopted against the Left wing in 1931. In that year it proposed the expulsion of the Progressive Group. This was not realized. In June 1932, it attempted to expel the entire Rank and File group. By September of that year the administration changed its plan and proposed to expel only the leading members of the Rank and File. To this list it added a leading member of the Progressive Group. The total number was eighteen. By October this number was reduced to twelve. By the time this proposal reached the membership of the union the number slated for expulsion was reduced to six.

The steady retreat of the administration reflected the pressure of the Left wing and the union membership which the Left wing successfully aroused against these reactionary proposals. The expulsion proceedings, which were begun in June 1932 reached the membership for action April 20, 1933. The sentiment against expulsion was so marked by this time that the administration changed its plans in the meeting and proposed suspension. This was defeated—a victory for the Left wing!

## Disloyalty

At the height of its expulsion campaign, the administration resorted to a disloyal act. Upon the request of Lefkowitz, Begun was summoned to appear at a hearing before O'Shea to answer charges which involved his possible dismissal from the school system! At the hearing, Linville, president of the union, testified against Begun! These were acts of treachery. The union exists for the purpose of defending the teachers against abuses by the B. of E. It cannot permit the B. of E. to intervene in its internal affairs. And it cannot tolerate in its ranks, be they rank and file or leaders, informers to the B. of E. against its members.

The expulsion campaign was accompanied by an undercover campaign of incitement of the conservative, and even reactionary preachers of a large number of the union membership against radicalism and Communism. This was the counterpart in the union to the same campaign conducted by the B. of E. in the system generally. It is unquestionable that the present expulsion proceedings of the system by the B. of E. which involves the outstanding militants of the Left wing, is, in large part, the fruit of the expulsion campaign from the union waged by the union administration. For this, too, the union administration must assume its share of responsibility.

## Democratic Rights Violated

The expulsion campaign was also accompanied by the most flagrant violations of the democratic rights of the union membership. Meetings which manifested sympathy with Left wing proposals and which threatened to carry them, were arbitrarily adjourned by the administration on technical pretexts. Motions, resolutions, etc., presented and supported by the administration were railroaded through. Minority points of view were ruled out of order, or denied the right of expression. Contrary to the charge of the administration, the friction and disorder at the union meetings, must be attributed, not to the Left wing minority, but to the arbitrary practices of the administration, which sought mechanically to retain its hold over the membership, often in direct contradiction to the will of the membership itself, in short, by its persistent refusal to recognize, in practice, the right of minorities to exist and function as organized groups, an essential condition of trade union democracy, the administration has demonstrated its reactionary character.

The fight between the administration and the Left wing has been a tense and heated one. If the issues involved have not been made as clear to the union membership as they should have been, due to false policies of the Left wing on many questions and the division in its ranks, this served only to make the fight more heated and to produce a situation in the union which threatened to paralyze it and even included the possibility of a split. The responsibility for this situation lies, principally, on the shoulders of the administration.

**The Special Grievance Committee**  
But the administration tried to put the responsibility on the Left wing. The Special Grievance Committee which was elected to hear the administration's charges against Left wing leaders and report on them, also took up the

# The Teachers Union and the Fight for Union Democracy

situation in the union and proposed a solution for it. The report is the "supreme" effort of the administration in its fight against the Left wing. Four of the five members of the Grievance Committee were among those who preferred the charges!

Over this flagrantly bureaucratic set-up the administration tried to draw the veil of respectability. It nominated and elected as the fifth member of the Committee—John Dewey, noted liberal, father of the League for Independent Political Action and Instrumentalist. Dewey, to whom the draft of the report is attributed did his job well. The report is a mountain of confusion. It asserts several times that the difference between the Left wing and the administration involves fundamental questions of union policy: "—the union is faced not with a matter of personal controversy, but with differences of policy that are fundamental to its existence"; "(—the) main cause (of the conflict in the union) is the existence of a conception (on the part of the Left wing) as to the proper functions and objectives of the union." (page 4.)

**The Delegate Assembly**  
Notwithstanding, the report carefully refrains from analysis of the fundamental questions of policy involved. It deals only with "secondary" questions. Its thesis is that the fight over the fundamental questions produced an intolerable situation in the union which threatened its continued existence. For this situation, like the administration, it holds the Left wing responsible. On this motivation, principally, it made several proposals, which help put the union in the pocket of the administration. The most important changes the structure of the union. "1. The creation of an assembly whose delegates will be elected to represent the members in their respective schools. This assembly will have the powers—deliberative and voting—now exercised at business meetings."

This effects a radical change in the structure of the union. Point 2 of the Committee's proposals distorts this structural change in an outrageously bureaucratic manner: "Business meetings of the membership to discuss, deliberate, and suggest to the Assembly but not to commit the union to any policy". (Our emphasis). By this distorted version of the shop delegate system the reactionary administration is aiming to shut off minority expression and deaden and further conservatize the organization.

A fight over fundamental questions of policy cannot be solved mechanically nor by administrative measures. The recourse of the administration to these methods says, on the one hand, that it cannot match its policies against the Left wing and on this basis defeat it. On the other hand this proposal and other resolutions introduced by the administration giving the Executive Board sole power, to suspend and expel, the outlawing of opposition groups, etc., which are aimed ostensibly at the Left wing are, in reality, blows directed against the democratic rights of the union membership.

If it is not defeated the administration may succeed in stifling, not only the opposition, but the collective initiative of the union membership. This will be a long step forward toward the destruction of the union.

The Left wing must adapt itself to the new situation and work to penetrate the Assembly. There and in the school it must carry on the fight against the administration for trade union democracy and the democratic reform of the Delegate Assembly.

## MINN'PL'S PICNIC

SUNDAY, JULY 23, STARTING AT 11:30 A. M.  
Riverside Park on South Side  
—EVERYBODY WELCOME—  
Nationally Known Speakers  
Auspices: Minneapolis Free Mooney Conference

## SUBSCRIBE TO "UNSER WORT"

Workers and friends who read German and are interested in getting first hand accounts of conditions in Germany can do so by subscribing to *Unser Wort*, the illegal organ of the German Left Opposition, published in Prague.  
The paper appears every other week. Subscriptions are for a year only and are \$2.00. Subscriptions must be accompanied by currency. Checks and money orders will not do.

Comrades who subscribe must take into account the time element involved. They must allow time for their subs to reach the center here, and ten days for the sub to reach Prague and on top of that ten days to two weeks additional for the paper to reach them. In short, the first copy should reach the comrade about three weeks after remittance to us.  
Address all subs to *Unser Wort*, care of the *Militant*, 126 East 16th Street, New York City.

**Workers' Pocket Series**  
By Leon Trotsky  
In Defense of the Russian Revolution 48 pages 48c  
Soviet Economy in Danger 72 pages 72c  
by Arne Swabeck  
Unemployment and the American Working Class 24 pages 50c  
Postage: 1c extra per copy  
Pioneer Publishers  
84 East 10th St. New York

## CORRECTION

The passage in the Pocketbook workers article last week which reads: "The workers know that they can have no faith in Shlipacoff, Wolinsky and Co." should have read "The workers know that they can have no faith in the present 'Fraternal Club' administration which is the heir and protector of the Shlipacoffs and the Wolinskys."

# Discussion of the German Tasks

## A Reply to the N. C. of the German Opposition

The draft resolution (unfortunately undated) clears up some differences (real and imaginary, that is, based on misunderstandings), but at the same time left others untouched. The task of the present criticism consists in defining as exactly as possible the real character of the differences today.

1. The resolution ascertains right at the beginning that "the collapse of the C. P. G. has deprived the slogan of its reform of all content", in other words, that the C. P. G. cannot be regenerated. Needless to say this declaration, which signifies the renunciation of the position that we all held up to March 5, bears a tremendous significance for all of our activity.

Paragraph 3 of the resolution says: "The development is in the direction of a new party". This thesis completes the preceding one and thus restricts extremely the sphere of differences. The leading comrades of the German section recognize that the Stalinist party in Germany is politically liquidated and that the German Communist Party will reconstitute itself as a new party, outside of the Stalinist organization.

2. But let us quote more extensively from paragraph 3: "Although the development is in the direction of a new party, the slogan of the creation of the latter would be premature and false." The whole sense of this sentence lies in the content that one gives the term "slogan": it may be interpreted as both an open proclamation of our new position with regard to the official party as well as an appeal for the immediate creation of a new party with the existing elements. Insofar as the second interpretation is concerned, it would be the most ridiculous sort of adventurism. Among ourselves, no one has made such a proposal. If at the opening of the discussion, such misunderstandings could arise, the exchange of opinion during the last few weeks has created absolute clarity on this score. It is not a matter for us of decreeing bureaucratically the creation of a new party, but of proclaiming openly our position towards the old party as well as our new perspective for work. It would be impermissible to diminish or to mask the significance of this turn. Our course is one of propaganda for a new party and preparation for it. It is necessary to speak out clearly and openly about this change. Are we agreed on this? The draft resolution does not offer the necessary clarity.

3. After a correct point of departure the resolution then falls into a number of contradictions, masks its fundamental declarations and does not give any practical directives. The slogan of the "new party", says the resolution, will be all critical or semi-critical Communists. Why? Obviously because they still believe in the reform of the old party. The devoted but shortsighted revolutionists, who at the cost of great sacrifices will attempt to reestablish the Stalinist party in illegality will naturally show themselves to be hostile to our affirmation that the "perspective of the reform of the C. P. G. no longer bears any content" and that "the development is in the direction of a new party". But it is precisely on these two theses that we find agreement with the German leadership. What shall we do about it? Shall we keep these ideas to ourselves without expressing them out loud in order not to repel from us the partisans of reform? Such a position would be altogether unworthy of Marxists and I do not doubt that the German comrades do not have this in mind. Under the blows of experience the utopians of reform will become convinced that we are correct. The more firmly and the more swiftly we shall establish our position, the greater will be our political authority.

4. The draft resolution poses the question of the creation of cadres. In itself this slogan is absolutely irreproachable. It is only necessary to reply: for what purpose, the cadres? For the reform of the old party or for the construction of the new one? If under such conditions, we take the road of diplomatic silence, the Stalinists will demand an answer just the same of us and we shall appear before the rank and file Communists like those augurs who have two doctrines, one for themselves and one for the uninitiated. It is clear that the authors of the resolution cannot want and do not wish a duplicity.

5. In the draft resolution as well as in other documents the idea is often repeated that the perspective of a new party is correct, but that the advanced workers are not prepared "psychologically". If it's a matter of creating a new party immediately, then the workers are not prepared not only "psychologically", but also politically and theoretically. The necessary cadres are lacking and the existing cadres have no masses. It is impossible to understand the reference to "psychology" otherwise than as follows: our own partisans and even our sympathizers are not prepared "psychologically" for the sharp change of perspectives. The authors of the resolution confuse, quite obviously, two questions: the preparation of the proletarian vanguard for the creation of a new Communist party and the preparation of our own organization for a courageous and decisive change of our own orientation in the question of the old and the new party.

Here we are not concerned with anything but this second task. It results not from any "psychology", that is to say, from the state of mind of various layers of the proletarian vanguard, but from the whole of the objective conditions: from the victory of Fascism and the collapse of the policy as well as the organization of the Stalinists. The state of mind of the workers can yet change—especially in the sense of an ever clearer understanding of this historic fact. But the political attitude (perspective) of the Left Opposition should not have as its point of departure the fluctuating sentiments of the heart, but the objective changes in the situation.

6. To interpret the slogan of the new party as a mechanical unification with the elements of decomposition of the old party (Brandenburgers, S. A. P., Leninbund) would not only be an absurdity but a simple mockery of our entire past. With regard to one group or another, we can only speak of taking some steps together, steps dictated by the situation. So, for instance, at the anti-Fascist congress we should seek an understanding with the Svecyvet group, the S. A. P. (German Socialist Labor Party), etc. against the bloc of Muenzenberg with Barbusse and the Hindu bourgeoisie. There is not the slightest reason for confusing tactical understandings of this order with the question of the new party. Only one thing can be said: skilful tactical agreements, on the basis of a correct strategic line, can accelerate the process of the formation of cadres for the Communist party.

7. In the German documents, the slogan of the "new party" is interposed with the slogan of a "new Zimmerwald". It is absolutely impossible to understand this counter-posing of things. Zimmerwald represented a temporary bloc of Marxists and Centrists. The former marched under the slogan

of the Third International; the latter under the slogan of the reform of the Second International. There were, to be sure, some participants who avoided answering the question: for the II. or the III. International? by hiding their hesitation under the banner of Zimmerwald "in general".

The record proved to be an episode, while the slogan of the Third International has determined the revolutionary policy of the whole new epoch. At the present, the questions are the same. The record, let us say, with the S. A. P., can become an episode (much less important than that of Zimmerwald) on the road to a new party; but these are two different questions.

8. By the way, how is the question of the S. A. P. posed? In the struggle for their own preservation, the leaders of the S. A. P. without giving an answer to any of the programmatic questions, disassociated themselves from the Left Opposition, alleging that we still nurtured illusions about the C. P. G. This fundamental argumentation has been eliminated since, by the march of events. Addressing ourselves to the S. A. P. we say: "After March 5, we are also concerned with the creation of a new party. But a party is created on the basis of a program. What is your program?" It is necessary for us to be able to utilize the advantage of our new position. If the leaders of the S. A. P. is not, obviously, the only now in the process of elaborating their program, we can offer them quite openly our participation in the program discussion and propose even the creation of common theoretical discussion organ, naturally preserving our entire independence of organization as well as our political journal. The question of the S. A. P. is not, obviously, the decisive question. Neither do we want to substitute it for other questions, but only to place it side by side with them, as a serious partial question.

I will sum up: the discussion has already brought this result: it has cleared up a number of obvious misunderstandings and has thus restricted the sphere of the difference. Nevertheless, before getting

a clear and distinct reply from the leading German comrades to the questions posed above it would be premature to say that the differences have been overcome. We must recognize, not only formally, the perspective of a new party, but we must draw from this perspectives the necessary practical conclusions and fight in common agreement for them.

April 21, 1933. —G. G.

Note: The Resolution of the German leadership (Reichsleitung) referred to above was published in *The Militant* several weeks ago.

**HELP FREE TOM MOONEY— SUPPORT THE NATIONAL TAG DAYS, JUNE 19—JULY 4.**  
 Aspicues: the National Tom Mooney Council of Action  
 Boxes can be secured at the League Headquarters, 126 East 16th Street, N. Y. C., or at Room 535 80 East 11th Street, N. Y. C.

**THE MILITANT**  
 Entered as a second class mail matter November 28, 1928, at the Post Office at New York, N. Y. Under the act of March 3 1879. Published weekly by the Communist League of America (Opposition)  
 EDITORIAL BOARD  
 Martin Abern James P. Cannon  
 Max Shachtman Maurice Spector  
 Arne Swaback  
 Vol. 6, No. 33 (Whole No. 180)  
**SATURDAY, JULY 1, 1933**  
 Subscription rate: \$2.00 per year  
 Foreign \$2.50 5 cents per copy

**CHICAGO PICNIC**  
 SUNDAY, JULY 9, 1933  
 Forest Preserve—Western Ave and 87th Street  
 GOOD TIME ASSURED  
 Games — Refreshments — Sports  
 Come early and enjoy the day.  
 Auspicues: Chicago Branch of the Communist League of America (Opposition)

## L.O. Representative Denounces Fascism in Chilean Parliament

The following are the high points of the discourse delivered by comrade Emilio Zapato at the special session of the Chilean House of Deputies on April 24, 1933. Three days later at the special session of the Senate of the Republic of Chile, our comrade Manuel Hidalgo threw the workers' challenge to the recent Fascist move when the masked measure reached the upper house of the Chilean Parliament.

Deputy ZAPATA: At this moment, heavy with grave alternatives for the working class, I come forward, as a representative of the Communist Left (Chilean Section of the International Communist Opposition, Bolsheviks-Leninists) to present the viewpoint of the exploited masses on the proposed law.

For us Communists, this attempt to give the existing government extraordinary power does not constitute a surprise. We know that it is necessary for the ruling class in order to impede the protests of the workers at the time when the nose in which they are held as a class is pulled tighter. We know it is necessary for them in order to ease the workers' complaints when wages are being slashed and unemployment is growing. By means of such a law you will stifle groans of the workers as they will slide under the whip of spreading pauperism. The law you propose is necessary for you, to bolster up and strengthen the existing forces of class repression.

Who is sponsoring the projected measure? the gross provisions of which do not deserve the flattery of detailed analysis?

The same bourgeois parties that have served all dictatorships in Chile up to now. They now raise shrill voices in the praise of democracy. But the same bands have handed over the national sources of natural wealth, our industries and the control of the country's fiscal institutions to the imperialist powers. The same elements that have ever played with the misery of the Chilean masses are now proposing a law giving extraordinary powers to the existing government.

Shall we permit ourselves to be fooled by the pretexes they have sought to justify the proposed measure. Its undoubted aim spells more suffering for the workers. By means of it, you hope to stifle all protests against the crimes that have been and are being perpetrated by the bourgeois and petty bourgeois sections here represented.

You are responsible for the excesses and crimes perpetrated by the several administrations that have recently victimized the country and...

Deputy URRUTIA (interrupting): Those were de facto governments. The honorable deputy should be careful about making direct accusations.

Deputy ZAPATA: The Conservatives have played an important role in the acts of those governments. Will you deny that the worthy representatives of that party have organized the several conspiracies that have recently devastated the country? Millions of pesos were

stolen from the National Treasury. This money is now being used for the purpose of solidifying the existence of your infamous White Guard.

(Tumult in the House. Several deputies speak at the same time.)

Deputy ZAPATA: I can prove to you, honorable gentlemen, that the commander in chief of this White Guard of yours, yes, Sr. Eulogio Sanchez Errasuriz himself, is one of those who harvested so luxuriantly in the period of the recent dictatorial governments....

I state that he robbed the treasury to the tune of one hundred million pesos.

(Tumult. Several deputies speak at the same time.)

Deputy URRUTIA: Can the honorable deputy prove what he has said?

Deputy PEREIRA: The honorable deputy is not being ethical....

Deputy URRUTIA: It is cowardly to bring in here the discussion of an person who is not a member of this honorable house. This is an act of cowardice!

Deputy ZAPATA: I can prove with ample documentation the truth of my charge.

(Several deputies speak at the same time. The Chairman rings the silencing bells.)

Deputy ZAPATA: Quiet! dear gentlemen. Calm yourselves, defenders of the White Guard.

Several deputies: Your honor cannot express himself in this fashion about a person who is not here.

Deputy ZAPATA: I speak here as the representative of the revolutionary proletariat. If history does not give within the bourgeois democracy a parliamentary majority to the Communists it is because, as our own comrade Trotsky has stated, "history has not transferred nations into clubs that solemnly vote in the Social Revolution."

The Democratic Parliament cannot represent, it cannot even approximate the correlation of forces that labor in society. Being the instrument of class domination clutched in the hands of the bourgeoisie, the parliament will continue to sanction the acts of repression resorted to by the bourgeoisie to preserve its power. Only when the revolutionary proletariat will have taken in its own hands the power, the press, the schools, the army, etc., will an end be put to the comedy of bourgeois democracy, with its contradictions, its fake opposition parties, serving as social lightning rods and the entire scheme of constitutional demagogy.

So that I place little belief in the purely formal and well-mannered opposition to the projected measure shown here by some sectors of the bourgeoisie. The time will come when we shall see the same false tribunes of the people applaud the butchering of the Communists.

But as my comrade Hidalgo so well said in the Senate, when speaking in protest against the expulsion of the primary school teachers, we Communists shall not wait with

crossed arms, like the ancient Christians in the circus. We shall be ready, fists clenched, certain that the future belongs to us.

The violent repression being prepared for us will also be met in a violent form by the workers, who closing the ranks of the proletarian revolution will know how to put force and violence at the service of society.

For the bourgeoisie can no longer continue as the guide of human destiny. Under its direction humanity degenerates and rots. It is now the turn of the proletariat to take in its hands the reins of power in order to make possible the progress of civilization under the historic perspective of a socialist organization of economy, destroying without pity all that which stands in the way of the success of such an organization.

Referring to democracy, Trotsky, one of the most beloved leaders of the world proletariat, said: "There is no hope of conquering power peacefully as long as the bourgeoisie retains the instruments of domination. Triply crazy is the hope of attaining power by the road that the bourgeoisie itself simultaneously indicates and trenches for itself, by the road of parliamentary democracy."

But this does not at all mean that we Communists should forego the opportunities, offered to us by the bourgeoisie itself, to make use of the national tribune in behalf of the revolutionary proletariat. "Battle must be given to bourgeoisie in its own terrain of democracy, thus tearing from the masses the illusion that the bourgeois parties can solve the problems of democracy."

In the measure that the fundamental contradictions of the capitalist system become more acute, the bourgeoisie will abandon all liberal demagogy and will resort to open government by force, thus hoping to postpone a bit longer the crumbling of the present social structure. All governments' all systems, about to fall, resort to brutal measures in order to retard the fall. However, no repressions, no massacres, can succeed to hold back the proletariat in its revolutionary mission.

Impelled forward historically, the proletariat will have to sweep aside the outworn forms of bourgeois society, in order to create on its ruins a society without classes without exploiters or exploited. Through the dictatorship of the proletariat, it will construct the socialist society. A beginning has already been made in the Soviet Union.

The social panorama is becoming more definite every other day. Everywhere, on all continents, amidst wars, dictatorships and diplomatic comedies, capitalist society is crumbling. As soldiers of the revolutionary army we Communist members of the parliament refuse to hide our ideas, but will continue today in the tribune of this parliament, tomorrow possibly in your prisons, in exile or in front of the machine guns of the same White Guard, the legal existence of which the Government and the majority of this Parliament recognizes and even justifies—for what purpose? For the purpose—so cynically made plain in the famous recruiting slogan of the Guard: "To shoot down the riff-raff!"

# The End of Austro-Marxism

## A Review of the Past That Helps to Understand the Present

(Continued from last issue)

The war came and as its most immediate consequence, the Habsburg empire collapsed. As to Austro-Marxism, it lost the ground underneath its feet. But it did not notice this. It believed that its period of bloom had only begun. That was only natural. The domination of capitalism was heavily shaken and the bourgeoisie could not even think of rehabilitating itself by its own power. And so it transmitted the task of reconstructing economy—this is how it came to be called instead of: reestablishing the capitalist regime—to the social democracy.

And the latter went about this work with the greatest of enthusiasm, for according to its view the "reconstruction of economy" was the prerequisite and beginning of "socialization". And had not democracy anything else but the automatic generator of socialization? Things were quite simple: if capitalism developed, the working class grew, and side by side with the working class grew, and the social democracy would grow. Ultimately it would have to get the majority in the house of parliament and then, no obstacle would any longer stand in the way of socialization. Society would thus grow into socialism, without revolution, without blood-shed, without a dictatorship and without a reign of terror.

The Dream is Punctured, But... But one fine day the cup overflowed. The bourgeoisie parties discovered that they could now get along even without the social democrats and took leave of their coalition brethren. But that did not rouse the social democrats from their democratic intoxication. The unfriendly attitude of the bourgeoisie parties only went to prove to them the correctness of the theory that the social democratic camp there arouse a contest of calculation as to the chances of the party for the conquest of parliament.

While the latter wallowed in the delights of this socialization-mathematics, the bourgeois parties accomplished a less romantic but much more effective task. They cleaned up all those conquests of the revolution which the socialists

praised as irrevocable. One after the other of these conquests came under the knife, so that a short time ago a madame social democrat voiced the complaint in the National Assembly: "From the commonwealth state, which we were supposed to be building up, nothing has remained any longer."

The bourgeoisie parties were, however, of a different opinion. They believed that there was still a great deal of "revolutionary garbage" that had to be cleaned up and that this work could not be done at all by democratic means, or at least, not as fast as they would like. Fascism raised its head and is today about to establish its own domination.

The Rise of Fascism, Unnoticed... The oldest Fascist associations, the Heimwehren, arose as early as the days of the overthrow. Originally they were only to be border guards (therefore their name, Heimwehren equals Home Guards). But the reactionaries recognized in them, with their unfeeling intuition, the possibility of playing a role as self-defense organizations against the "internal enemy."

The social democrats naturally did not recognize this. As good patriots they even handed out arms to the Heimwehren. And when the Communists brought attention to the "white guard danger", the social democrats, at that time on their honeymoon with the coalition, put them off with a jest: they were sowing white mice.... White Guards? Something like that could exist in backward Russia, but not in a highly civilized democratic republic; this was all the more so, because the democratic wing of the Christian Socialists, which was at that time still strong, did not have the slightest inclination for the adventure of a civil war.

"Heimwehren? What for?", said Prolate Hauser, "I can get everything done that is necessary by agreement with Renner" (leader of the social democracy). And that was god's own truth. Everything

necessary for them could be got done in collusion with Renner. That was the pride of the social democrats and this pride allowed them to ignore the Heimwehren.

Of course, this could not go on for ever, because the Heimwehren soon began to show very distinct signs of life. Here and there, they went about assassinating one worker, then another.

The incident of July 15, 1927 After every one of these murders the *Arbeiterzeitung* would write: if this should happen again, then... (What was to happen, then? was shown on July 15, 1927. On this day, when the Viennese workers, embittered over the acquittal of several Fascist labor assassins, put the Palace of Justice on fire, the social democrats thought of nothing better to do than to propose the formation of a new coalition to the Christian Socialists!

And along this style they have continued to carry on the struggle against Fascism to this very day. The frightful aggravation of the crisis brought over new forces to the side of Fascism. Side by side with the Heimwehren there arose National Socialism (the Hitler Brown Shirts). The unification of the workers' enemies kept on making rapid strides of progress in spite of squabbles within their own camp. Their plans became constantly more distinct. But the social democratic party persisted in complete inactivity.

It is not even doing anything now, after Dollfuss, half encouraged and half terrified by the events in Germany, has gone about creating those advantages for the bourgeoisie which a Fascist regime has to offer to it, while at the same time protecting it from the irksome consequences which such a regime brings with it for the ruling classes.

Even Dollfuss Can Put One Over... When Dollfuss cast aside the parliament and announced a new constitution on the basis of the Empowering Act of Wartime Economy, intending thereby to clean up the rest of the "revolutionary garbage", they had no other resistance to offer him than their democratic oratory. Austro-Marxism stands there just as helplessly today before the advent of Fascism as the theoreticians and practitioners of reformism did before the advent of the war. It starts out with the assumption that the normal condition for capitalism is prosperity and that through increasing prosperity the class antagonisms will be straightened out by the collaboration of the classes, leading up to a perfected socialism.

As to phenomena which appear to contradict this assumption—it does not know even how to begin to tackle them. To the question as to what can be done about the crisis and its offspring—Fascism—they have as little an answer as they have to the question: how socialism will be realized in case the earth should collide with some other heavenly body.... Austro-Marxism one will hear, is not astronomy, but economics and politics. It concerns itself, in spite of the arsenals of the Republican Schutzbund (the social democratic defense organization) which Dollfuss is so feverishly searching for, not with shooting irons and other weapons of destruction, but with elections and parliamentary negotiations. Austro-Marxism is democratic and if the others do not stick to the democratic rules of the game, then it falls into that same condition as that whimpering Austrian general, who whenever he was asked why it was that Napoleon always defeated him, called out despondently: "How can one combat war against a man who doesn't stick to the rules of military science?"

What can be done against Dollfuss when, going against all human and divine justice, he simply thumbs his nose at the whole democracy, the most democratic of all constitutions included? In that case only one thing is left: submission. And in actuality, the *Arbeiterzeitung* has repeatedly declared that its party realizes that after the overturn in Germany, a good many things will have to change also in Austria and that it is prepared to negotiate with Dollfuss about the new constitution.

That means only this: the social democracy does not object if the democracy is beaten to death and interred, so long as, in consideration of propriety, the democratic ceremonial is retained. Naturally, the *Arbeiterzeitung* brings not only such declarations, but also others, contrary to these. It threatens, mocks' wails, hatches the most ridiculous plans, vacillates between hope and despair, just as befits such a condition of headlessness, into which that terrible Dollfuss has cast Austro-Marxism.

What does the social democracy still hope for? Naturally, it has no hope in its own powers, but—in the intervention of the foreign countries and in the quarrels within the Fascist camp. It does not see in the dispute between the Heimwehren and Nazis a war of unification, it does not see that it resembles a lovers' quarrel which ends in a warm embrace! It does not see at all any more, it does not understand the world, and that is why it will go along the road of debasement and devaluation to the bitter end, paralyzed in mind and body like the German social democracy. The Austrian workers can expect nothing from the Exemplary Party of the Second International except disgrace and defeat.

—AUSTRIACUS.  
 (From "Unser Wort")

## A View of the Labor Scene in the British Isles Today

Edinburgh, Scotland.—British capitalism is still in the throes of the crisis but a temporary boom in the building trades and a slight improvement in certain luxury trades has been responsible for a reduction in the number of the unemployed. The capitalist press has seized upon this pretext in order to announce a "British trade revival" and the usual cry of "Prosperity Coming" has again been trotted out. So far as the majority of the wage workers are concerned, the position remains the same.

Although relatively better off than many wage earners in Central Europe, the British worker is beginning to feel the strain of speed-up methods, while thousands of unemployed men and women have long since given up hope of ever working again. Especially in the case of steel, iron and coal and on the Clyde-side on the west of Scotland many famous factories and shipyards are standing derelict or being used as rough-house dance-halls, gambling shaloons or scrap-iron stores. This was once the proud home of British shipbuilding, engineering and iron-working capital. The objective conditions are certainly favorable for a great advance on the part of the British working class movement, but unfortunately never was there greater chaos and confusion in the ranks of labor.

The Labour Party still holds the majority of politically thinking workers within its ranks but its policy continues to be a welter of everything under the sun and still is based on class collaboration. It therefore serves as a smokescreen for British capitalism and by the skillful use of "Left" phrases its leaders draw away from the Communist party thousands of healthy recruits. The many small sects such as the I. L. P., the S. P. G. B. and the anti-Parliamentary groups have been hammering at the "Reformist Labour Party and Trade Unions" for about thirty years but with little to show for their work.

After thirteen years, the Communist party is still isolated from the main strata of the working class and has completely failed to gain a foothold in the factories and workshops while the trade unions are still in the safe-keeping of the reformist bureaucracy who are conducting a policy of peace-at-any-price and brazenly selling the workers over the collective wage-bargaining counter.

Divorced from the employed, the C. P. makes great endeavors among the unemployed and has been successful in staging several big unemployed demonstrations and hunger marches. The last attempt was a Scottish hunger march and on Sunday June 11, about 1000 poverty-stricken unemployed men and women marched into Edinburgh in order to demand an interview with Sir Godfrey Collins, Secretary of State for Scotland and in order to discuss their demands.

Some of their demands were: 1. The abolition of the means test. 2. That children of unemployed and adult dependents be granted extra relief subsidies. 3. That rents be

reduced 25 per cent. 4. That relief work be provided at trade union wages and conditions. 5. That social service schemes be repudiated.

Sir Godfrey was not in Edinburgh and made it known that he had no intention of seeing the hunger marchers. No accommodations were available for the marchers to sleep but they declared that they would stay until Sir Godfrey climbed down from his high horse. The position resolved itself into a duel between the Edinburgh magistrates and the marchers' leaders among whom were Harry McShane and Aitken Ferguson of the C. P. and John MacGovern and Heenan of the I. L. P.

The marchers at first obtained halls to sleep in, then they were refused them and on Monday night that week they slept out in Prince Street, the main boulevard of the Scottish capital. By Wednesday their funds were getting low but they managed to threaten and cajole the magistrates into paying the marchers their fares back to the various parts of Scotland from whence they came. So the hunger march ended. It created great excitement and undoubtedly gave the Edinburgh authorities many a fright, but it failed in its main objective because of the sectarian nature of its make-up.

The members of the C. P. and National Unemployed Workers Movement, the party controlled unemployed organization were there to a man, but the large mass of the unemployed and employed treated the affair as "none of their business". As a consequence, the whole march savored more of a stage-managed stunt than of a real attempt to strike a blow on the part of the masses. All the recent marches and demonstrations have been of this nature and until the C. P. strikes a sound line, stunts will continue to be the order of the day.

Despite the fact that with each passing year thousands of sympathetic workers are turning their backs on the C. P. in disgust, the leadership still continues to whoop about imaginary victories—if not in Britain, then in Russia—but gradually the real situation is beginning to burn itself into the minds of many sections of the rank and file and with the recent German debacle and subsequent crazy united front antics of the Comintern, the Pollitts and Co. are skating on thin ice.

A Half Year sub to the Militant is \$1. On a Club Plan with three others it is only 50 cents.

If the number on your wrapper is 180 your subscription has expired. If you want to get the Militant promptly every week renew your subscription at once: \$2 per year for fifty two weekly issues; \$1 a half year for twenty six weekly issues.

**THE MILITANT**  
 126 East 16th St. N. Y. C.

# Roosevelt Long-Range Program

(Continued from Page 1)

export. Wages in many fields remained high in comparison with Europe, although the shortage of labor has disappeared as witnessed by the restrictions on immigration.

The situation confronting American economy gives little reason to think that through the peaceful operation of natural and spontaneous forces, the present crisis will, like all previous ones, go on through a phase of stabilization and prosperity to a boom which will again set new high records.

The recovery which now seems to be under way is essentially a breathing-spell in a long, hard and painful process of readjustment, marked by internal shocks and disturbances, and abroad by increasingly sharp conflict of interests with the older powers in the effort to find a bigger place in the world markets.

The driving force of American industrial expansion supplies the motive power; the machinery adjusts itself by displacing agriculture and raw material production with the increasing production of manufactured products, small scattered industry and banking by powerful aggregates of capital and large-scale branch banking, production directed to the extent of 90 percent toward the home market by an increasing proportion of exports, and isolationism by a life-and-death interest in world affairs.

**Roosevelt Contribution to Capitalist Technique**

Roosevelt's contribution to capitalism's technique for getting out of the crisis appears to lie, first, in the recognition that natural and spontaneous recovery is not enough to assure, as heretofore, the transition back to "normal" prosperity after the crisis, inasmuch as his most energetic actions are taken precisely in the face of such a recovery, and to take effect over a period of years.

Second, he proposes to treat this coming period evidently on the basis of an emergency comparable to a state of war. That implies a mobilization of the full powers of the state, the coordination under Federal control of economic activities such as railroading, the encouragement to banks to consolidate and unify operations under Federal (or Federal Reserve) control, the unification of whole branches of industry in all important respects except actual ownership through trade associations, the concentration of all holdings of

gold under the control of the government, etc.

This feature of concentration and coordination is one of the most marked characteristics of the Roosevelt policies. Its result must be to shorten the period of readjustment and to combine as much as possible of its burdens with those of the cyclical (1929-1933) crisis.

The currency policy of the government, the much-mooted question of inflation, must be regarded as part of a general mobilization of control in the hands of the Federal government on a "war-like" basis. In its most extreme form, it becomes a policy of "managed currency", thus fully integrating finance and banking into the system of government controls.

**The Mobilization of Public Opinion**

Third, corresponding to the concentration and mobilization of industry, transportation and finance, is the mobilization of "public opinion", comparable to that of wartime except that the element of compulsion is lacking. This accounts for much of the demagoguery in the President's official statements and in his legislation. The incident of the reception of several hundred aggressive bonus petitioners at Fort Hunt, where they were allowed to wear themselves out in inaction and finally split, a part joining the reforestation camps, is especially illuminating, not only in contrast with the methods used by Mr. Hoover last year in dealing with the "Bonus Expeditionary Force", but also in the light of the substantial reductions in expenditures for veterans carried through by the Roosevelt administration.

Similarly, the farmer, feeling the increasing pressure of the crisis on top of the general crisis of American farming, has been politically restless for years. By concessions such as the promise of inflation and the agricultural relief bill, it is proposed to win his sympathy or at least acquiescence in the whole program, while at the same time carrying out the work of reducing the production of American farms to as large an extent as possible with the consent of the farmer himself. The only alternative policy would have been to let him be starved and foreclosed off the farms by the naked operation of economic forces.

Similarly, in face of the real attacks on its standards and organizations, the support of the working class is sought through the ap-

peal to employers to increase wages voluntarily; through the announced intention of including binding agreements on wages and working conditions among the subjects on which trade associations are to agree, through the friendly attitude toward minimum wage legislation, and the Black bill for the thirty-hour week. All this in the face of the \$1 a day forced labor, the 10 per cent wage cut for the railroad workers, the anti-labor provisions of the Industrial Recovery Bill, etc.

**A Period of Drastic Readjustments**

So long as American business looked forward to automatic recovery and new high records of prosperity after every crisis, the Harding-Coolidge-Hoover policy of minimum intervention of government in economic life was a natural corollary.

Under present conditions we see American economy, to provide an outlet for its rapidly growing productive forces in a cramped world, readjusting itself by closing small banks and businesses and enlarg-

ing big ones, expanding its production of manufactured goods at the expense of its production of foods and raw materials, reaching out more and more for export markets as its home markets diminish, and above all, throwing the main burdens of these readjustments on to the working class. This means that the next period is one of drastic and painful readjustments internally, and of sharpening conflict of interests internationally.

The Roosevelt program is not to stem or reverse these tendencies, nor, as in the old days of unalloyed optimism, to let them work themselves out blindly. On the contrary, it not only accepts the existing trends of American economy, but put itself at their head to give them leadership and support during the critical period to come. Through mobilizing and concentrating economic control, with a corresponding mobilization of demagogic propaganda, it attempts to hasten the necessary domestic readjustments and present a solid front against the outside world in the struggle for international markets, and against the working class, the farmers, and broad sections of the petty bourgeoisie at home.

—B. J. FIELD.

# Stalinism Weakens the U.S.S.R.

The revisionist conception of Socialism in One Country and the policies flowing from it have not only helped to lay the political foundation for a cyclical revival of capitalism but also have aided in creating a danger for the Workers' Support far greater than active support of revolutions outside of Russia could have done. Stalinism with its theory of the "united front from below", together with the social democrats, has helped to lead the German working class to defeat. It has helped to destroy the second strongest Communist party of the world. At the same time its impotence has been instrumental in creating a condition the workers fear.

With the rise of Hitler to power not only has the revolutionary movement had a set-back but we are beginning to witness an alignment of social forces favorable to a capitalist attack on the Soviet Union itself. In spite of the so-called "non-aggression pacts" between the USSR and other nations, particularly France and Poland,

the contradictions between the imperialist world and an isolated workers' state are developing toward the point of explosion.

**If the Workers Triumphed**

Had the German working class defeated Hitler, thereby taking a step toward social revolution, the influence of their success would have spread. Workers throughout the world would have been heartened and the objective revolutionary factors created by the present world crisis might have been matched by a growth of subjective factors now lagging behind. A political crisis for capitalism, as well as its economic crisis, might have developed.

History is replete with examples of revolutionary movements in one country leading to progressive reforms in other parts of the world. As comrade Trotsky pointed out in *Whither England?*: the great French Revolution served as a powerful stimulus to the growth of the labor movement and democratic tendencies in England; the July Revolution of 1830 in France was largely responsible for the first English Election Reform Bill in 1831; the revolutionary movement of Chartism contributed to a reduction of the English working-day in 1844-47 and to the abolition of the Corn Laws in 1846; the influence of the Russian Revolution in 1905 helped to raise the Labor Party to the position of an important fraction in the English Parliament, while the Russian Revolution of 1917 was to a large extent responsible for the new Election Reforms of 1918.

In short, an examination of history leads us to the conclusion that a triumph of the workers in Germany would have strengthened the international working class in general.

**Defeats Bring Reaction**

But with the rise of German Fascism the reverse is true. Both the German workers and the international working class movement have suffered a tremendous defeat. Just as the defeat of the great French Revolution led to the restoration of the Bourbons in France and the Corn Laws in England, the defeat of 1848 dealt a blow to the English working class, and the defeat of the German workers in 1923 gave American capital the opportunity to begin with the realization of its plans for the (momentarily) successful subjugation of Europe, so today, a similar wave of reaction is beginning to set in.

Instead of a series of advances a series of set-backs are likely. Nor has the tension between the imperialist nations and the USSR lessened because of the silence of the Comintern. On the contrary it has increased. The existence of the Soviet Union still remains a threat to the capitalist world. Its territory is needed by the imperialists during the present period of their decay and it is the one power all of them wish to destroy. But now political conditions for intervention have shifted in their favor. The Soviet Union's first line of defense (the possibility of effective workers' resistance in other countries and particularly in Germany) has been temporarily weakened.

(To be continued) —SIMMONS, Kansas City, Mo.

# The Textile Code

(Continued from Page 1)

calling for labor representation being turned to precisely what they were intended for—the formation of company unions. Even the American Federation of Labor is not acceptable to our industrial magnates, unless it is needed to head off a real class conscious union. Particularly the steel and coal industries have been active in the formation of these company unions. The New York Times reports of "numerous instances of coercion to force the workers into the company unions. In some parts of Kentucky, workers have been evicted from company houses for refusal to sign company union rolls." This practice will within the next few weeks probably assume national proportions.

These are the first few signs of the trend of the future developments. Many workers undoubtedly believed that the Roosevelt program will lead the country back to "prosperity". Their disillusionment will be both soon, sharp and complete. The working class cannot expect aid from the Roosevelt administration; this the hard master of events will make too clear. To secure a living wage, to secure a thirty hour week, no conference board will suffice. For this the American working class must be organized into powerful industrial unions that will learn and know how to fight for better conditions.

—H. S.

# Narrow Down N.Y. Free Tom Mooney Meet

(Continued from Page 1)

of the delegates present but was subjected to the usual barrage of abuse on the part of Minor, Alexander and other party leaders. Even those staunch upholders of democratic principles, the Mustekites, who complained so loudly when they were refused the right to distribute their paper at the recent united front National Youth Day, refused to fight for the elementary right of every working class organization to a voice in a united front conference. The conclusions to be drawn from the actions of the C. P. L. A. delegate, a certain Larry Cohen, who not only was given the opportunity to speak but was elected to the executive committee, is that they are in full agreement with the Stalinist clique policy of an exclusive Free Tom Mooney movement.

An executive committee of 25, arbitrarily selected by the executive of the previous conference, was elected without any consideration of the organizations represented at the present conference. Again upon an appeal by Minor, the conference voted down a motion by the L. O. delegate to include in the execu-

tive representatives of the political organizations in the conference.

So effectively did the steam-roller work that even a non-partisan representative, the one from the I. L. D. who was nominated from the floor and received an equal vote with the other nominees was declared not elected by the chairman for the simple reason that the delegate spoke up for the right of the Left Opposition and all political organizations to a voice at a united front conference!

There were 314 delegates present at the conference representing 74 organizations. Out of the entire delegation 34 came from trade unions. Of the non-party delegates present there was only the C. P. L. A., the Fellowship of Reconciliation, the Lovestonites, the Federated Press, the Weisbord group and the Communist League of America (Left Opposition). The trend of the conference was for work in the A. F. L. locals, socialist locals and opponent fraternal organization locals. The organizational secretary, "Brother" Begun, even stressed penetration of the Knights of Pythias, the Moose, Elks, the American Legion and the boy scouts. These organizations are to send

delegates to future Mooney conferences.

The conference, though adopting the principle of the united front of all working class organizations departed in actuality from this principle and set itself the task of winning the local organizations and disregarding entirely the central bodies.

It is necessary at this time to issue a warning to the Left wing workers who for years have been in the thick of the struggle to free Tom Mooney from the capitalist jail which has held him since 1917; that unless a real united front of all workers organizations is forged, Tom Mooney will not be released. The Left Opposition, as its statements and actions have shown, stands for such a policy of united action, a policy which, through a correct application of the united front, will force the S. P. leaders and the A. F. L. labor fakers to answer before their following and the whole working class, if they will obstruct the unity with the Left wing and Communists in order to force the capitalist jailers to free Tom Mooney.

—M. GELDMAN.

# THE EUROPEAN ANTI-FASCIST CONGRESS . . . AN ANTI-TROTSKYIST SLUG FEST OF THE STALINISTS

"Let these Gentlemen Hold the Congress in Peace!"  
-- Say the Police to the L. O. Protest Delegation

(Continued from Page 1)

Labor (Gilly miners); Delegation from a meeting of 500.

**Greece.**—Greek section of the Left Opposition; General Federation of Wounded War Victims; Union of War Veterans; Union of Widows and Orphans Woodworkers Trade Union of Athens; Barbers Trade Union of Athens; Bakers Trade Union of Athens;

**Poland.**—Polish section of the Left Opposition; Meeting of Polish Emigres of the Paris District;

**Switzerland.**—Swiss section of the Left Opposition; Young Socialists of Zurich; Marxist Circle of Zurich;

**Jewish Workers.**—Clarke group of the Paris district;

**Germany.**—German section of the Left Opposition;

**Italy.**—Italian section of the Left Opposition; Group of Anti-Fascist emigres of the Paris district;

**Hungary.**—Hungarian section of the Left Opposition; Hungarian language group of building workers; Factory meeting of building workers of the Paris district;

**France.**—French section of the Left Opposition; Amsterdam Committee, Charente-Inferieure (Oleron); Public meeting in Lille, Red Aid (Chavigny section); Railroad workers; Several meetings in the Paris district; Workers meeting at Montigny-Gohelle; Indo-Chinese group of the Paris district; Trade union section, Committee for the Defense of l'Humanite, and various other sections of the Red Aid and workers meetings.

The sum of these credentials represented about one hundred delegates. We repeat, we limited the number of delegates from the provinces and from abroad for material reasons.

According to prattle of the Stalinists, the Oppositionists "represented no one"; but, one of the organizations represented by us; the General Federation of Disabled War Victims of Athens consists of SIXTY THOUSAND MEMBERS!

**Bureaucraté Sifting**

All the credentials of Oppositionist organizations were automatically rejected.

The comrades who appeared with regular credentials officially stamped by workers organizations passed through the sifter of the national leaderships; all those recognized as "Trotskyists" were subject to the following maneuver: Their credential was demanded from them in order to issue a delegates card against it; they were requested to wait a minute, then they the bureaucrats cynically refused a card and retained the credential! Then, more diplomatically, they requested

them (the delegates) to return and upon returning, since they no longer had a credential nor a card, they refused them admission into the Grange aux Belles Hall! That did not happen without protest but the sergeants-at-arms brutally squelched the protestants. In a word, they systematically robbed the credentials from the members of the Opposition.

**Bureaucratic Measures Breed Arbitrariness**

Besides this, many of the scenes were comical: A delegate from an anti-Fascist committee was deprived of his credential and sent back to his district because they suspected him to be a Trotskyite, one of our comrades having previously presented a credential from Charentes (the same place). The suspected "Trotskyite" was "liquidated" the real "Trotskyite" profiting by this lullaballoo secured a delegates card.

Another case is no less comical: A delegate from a Spanish trade union was recognized by a bureaucrat from his country and refused a card; accidentally, they returned his credential. A Swiss comrade whose credential had been rejected from Switzerland appeared with the Spanish credential. The Spanish Stalinist did not know him, he secured his admission card to the Congress!!!

However, they discreetly distributed credentials to members of the Young Communist League and the party; notwithstanding, that caused many surprises to the Stalinist apparatus.

**The Congress Begins**

The detailed instructions given to the sergeants-at-arms were directed at us. The organizers succeeded in creating a sentiment of violent reaction against any revolutionary intervention; the pretended struggle against the Young Patriots was only a means of striking at us. The management committee was organized with several guards and a corps under the leadership of a "specialist".

The sergeant-at-arms was baffled from the very first day, and not knowing whom to suspect, he bullied everyone: "And that one, isn't he a Trotskyist?" In this way a member of the party was violently thrown out because he didn't have his membership card on his person! The slogan was to hound the Trotskyists. A special squad was assigned to that task. The squad was completely put off the scent when they learned that a plain clothes detective was in the hall. "Take him out quietly! No provocations!" "Get him to go out!" "Outside" on the sidewalk, two young workers who listened very attentively to "a Trotskyite" much to the chagrin of the sergeant-at-arms, were thrown into the street under the fallacious pretext that they weren't delegates.

**Two Regimes, the Detectives and the "Trotskyites": Example of the Press Cards**

Two detectives of the North-African police, Gaudin's agents, well known to the Algerian workers, presented themselves to the organization committee. Press cards, invitation. Admit the bearers of the cards. . . Come in, gentlemen; this way, gentlemen! And the dicks passed the zealous sergeant-at-arms.

Saraceno, former editor-in-chief of *Unita*, the central organ of the Italian Communist Party, possessing a regular press card, entered the Congress.

Led away into the cellar by the agents of the "political control" to the "special Italian committee" comrade Saraceno was forced to surrender his right to enter. Before allowing him to leave, the Stalinist "naziizer" (twenty against one) threw themselves on our comrade striking him with their feet and their fists. Our comrade had his glasses broken and was bruised on his left temple by the bureaucrats.

**Welcome to the Delegates**

Comrade Atlan was unable to obtain his delegate's card at Grange aux Belles and appeared at the Pleyel Hall on the first day of the Congress. They wrested his credential from him, drew him to a corner, violently slugged him and then threw him into the street. Since he protested and a crowd had already gathered about him, the sergeant-at-arms spoke to him in this tone: "You really want to enter! Oh well, come in!" Atlan once again entered the Pleyel Hall. Many delegates were commenting on the incident. Beaugrand reassured them, saying: "We will check up on his credential and then give him access to the hall." They drew Atlan into a small retreat, closed the door to the Section of the Red Aid, Beaugrand, and Rouffange insulted him and slugged him, shouting to the disturbed comrades who were massed behind the door: "You see how they examine his credential, they are dealing with him in a comradely way". But in subdued tones they told Atlan that he would remain sequestered until the conclusion of the Congress and they beat him brutally. Atlan's cries drew the attention of numerous delegates who energetically insisted that they let him go.

To the delegates that protested they declared that Atlan was a police agent that they found in the hall. We have told above just how they received the police agents.

**First Day in the Hall of the "Congress"**

The packed hall at the first session bore the aspect of a mass meeting rather than a Congress; no real discussion.

The delegates grouped according to languages had to obey national discipline, to make known the number of delegates desiring the floor. An Italian comrade requested the floor. The individual responsible for the group came to see him and demanded that he submit the out-

line of his speech.

The evening session was marked by a violent incident. A Belgian comrade, Verecken, handed in his name at the very beginning of the session and, unable to get the floor, made a point of order; they saw him from the platform, pulled him out of the hall, and kept him in the cellar. When several comrades protested against the violence committed, they were themselves violently dragged from the hall, their delegates' cards were demanded and taken from them and they were thrown out. Other delegates who protested against this violence were threatened with expulsion if they did not keep quiet.

The same afternoon two members of the Unitary Trade Unions, a railroad worker and a metal worker, were expelled from the hall. The sergeant-at-arms thought they had a "doubtful attitude".

The first day of the discussion, after the hollow and official optimism of the reports which contained neither analysis nor general perspectives, was devoted to anti-Trotskyist speeches of the usual type, and a theatrical scene in the course of which the Belgian delegate on the platform ripped the Hitlerite flag. The session concluded with collective singing.

**The Parade Ends**

Upon their entry into the corridor, two delegates, Oblin of Montigny and Devreyer, were simply thrown out of the hall because they were recognized as Trotskyists. Their credentials were torn up! At the opening of this session comrade George Vitorisio delegate from the General Federation of Disabled Veterans of the Imperialist War of Greece submitted a written request to the presidium demanding the floor. The chairman wrote back that each delegate who wanted the floor had to get it through an intermediary, the leader of his "national" section, who was always a Stalinist. Thus the floor was denied to any Oppositionist delegate.

The Left Opposition refused to submit to this anti-proletarian and bureaucratic decision. That is why on Monday morning at the moment when the chairman announced that Bergery, petty bourgeois radical, would take the floor, comrade Vitorisio stood up and addressing himself to the Congress, shouted out: "Comrades, in the name of 60,000 disabled Greek War veterans I protest against the exclusion of the Left Opposition. I demand the floor in its name. Long live the Communist International of Lenin and Trotsky! Long live the proletarian revolution! Down with Fascism! Long live Trotsky! Free Rakovsky!"

Bands of bureaucratic funkies coming from all parts of the hall threw themselves on him with savage bestiality, dragging him by the hair and beating him with their hands and feet. Comrade Marie Dimitriedes, delegate of the War Widows and Orphans of Greece; comrade Paget, delegate of the section of the Red Aid of Chavigny (Meurthe-et-Moselle); an Indo-

Chinese comrade, delegate of the Red Aid of Paris; comrade L. . . . were savagely slugged for having protested with comrade Vitorisio. Our comrades struggled with the bureaucrats to retain their places. The Stalinists hurled themselves upon them but a great number of delegates, particularly the English delegation, indignant at the brutalities, protested energetically. It was only with considerable effort that the bureaucrats were able, despite the protests, to throw our comrades out of the hall.

But their anti-Trotskyist action did not stop at that. Once again led to the cellar of the theater, our comrades were searched and questioned in typical police manner and sequestered there under the guard of twenty "sergeant-at-arms" for more than two hours.

Among the bureaucrats Vaillant-Couturier, Comrade Vitorisio protested to him and demanded that our imprisoned comrades be freed immediately. Vaillant-Couturier smiled ironically and turned his back.

It was only after the arrest by the police of the Left Opposition group, who came to the Congress to protest the treatment accorded our delegates, that our comrades were freed in the afternoon, although in a very bad condition.

During this time the radical bourgeois deputy, Bergery, continued his speech. Obligated to free our comrades by the pressure of the comrades from the outside, Beaugrand demanded that our comrades sign a declaration stating that they were not beaten. Our comrades responded by saying that since they were beaten they would not sign such a declaration.

**In the Future We Will Speak to You with a Revolver**

In the meantime a German comrade was violently dragged from his seat and led away with violence into another corner of the cellar. He was told: "No discussion with you, give us your identification papers, your passport." They took all his personal papers besides and, returning two hours later, they said: "In the future we will speak to you with a revolver." All his personal letters were kept. Comrade Paget protested in the hall when he saw our comrades rounded up. He was immediately ejected into the street.

**Young Socialists Protest**

A girl comrade, member of the Socialist Youth and of the Youth Anti-Fascist Committee, outraged by the proceedings against our comrades, began anew, protested vigorously on the floor. She too, was immediately made "harmless" by the anti-Trotskyist squad and finally reached the street with a bloody nose. The other Socialist Youth comrades left the meeting protesting with her. In discussing with the young Socialist comrades, our delegates made clear to them that these Stalinist maneuvers had nothing in common with a genuine united front.

Some of our comrades were still

# Long Live the Proletarian Revolution! Down with Fascism! Long Live Trotsky! Free Rakovsky!

under guard at that time. A group from our headquarters came down to get them out. They demanded their immediate liberation of the squad leaders. A loud argument ensued in the hallway. Cops passing by interfered and reproached our comrades for not letting "these gentlemen hold their congress in peace."

The intervention of the group from our headquarters was, however, effective. Our comrades were immediately afterward released.

**Bergery Prepares the Break**

The opponents of the united front soon found themselves in an uncomfortable position with their combinations. The Pleyel Congress emphasized how the already miserable results of the Amsterdam horse-deals have sunk to even greater depths. Not only wasn't any advance achieved but an obvious retreat is also being prepared by elements foreign to the party to break away from Amsterdam.

Bergery is prepared to take an important part of the Amsterdamers under his influence. Bergery does this with ease. He publicly advertizes his support of Amsterdam. He cements his positions which will permit him to slam the door with greater advantage accusing the Communists of unimportance.

Bergery already has an undertaking, the "Common Front", which groups most of the independent personalities of Amsterdam on a platform which is on the same level as Amsterdam.

Many conferences have been held between the party and Bergery in the corridors and behind the scenes. Doriot represented the party at the initial meeting of the "Common front".

The situation is serious. The party is trying and will still try many compromises. All that, of course, is happening without any party. Bergery's speech paved the way for his eventual break. From the Communist point of view Doriot's reply was no less odious. It shows just where "the sympathies of the Political Bureau" rest.

**Last Day of the Parade**

After much petty discussion, one of our comrades, representing the Belgian Knights of Labor (the Gilly miners), requested the floor. The leader of the Belgian delegation stated that he could have the floor but only on the condition that he first submit to him the outline of his speech. Our comrade answered that his point of view was that of the Left Opposition. This was enough to gag him.

Most important in this last session was the intervention of comrade Aulas from the Unitary Federation of Teachers. Aulas demonstrated the responsibility that the R. I. L. U. bore in the German catastrophe and in the failure to

call a genuine Congress. He was continually interrupted by the bureaucrats and when he placed the criminal policy of the German Communist Party in its proper light his voice was drowned by a hue and cry from the howling pack.

The chairman proposed that this delegate from a red trade union be ruled out of order. In spite of a great number of votes against this bureaucratic decision Aulas was forced to leave the platform and the hall.

**Unanimity at Any Cost**

At the conclusion of the discussion the chairman made a long appeal proposing that the delegates show their agreement with the resolution presented by a demonstrative acclamation. He put the resolution to a vote and a half dozen hands were raised against it. Stupefied, the chairman believed, at the time that the German delegates who had voted against it had not understood the resolution. He had the resolution translated and again they voted demonstratively against it. One of them explained his position by shouting out: "Long live Trotsky!"

Then a German delegate from Frankfurt stood up and said: "When I came to this Congress I was not a Left Oppositionist. But by your slanders against the Left Oppositionists who, in every case work as revolutionaries along with us in Germany, you have driven me closer to them and I vote against your resolution of confusion."

The organizers of the Congress who wanted a unanimous vote at any cost were thrown into confusion. They surrounded the French and Belgian delegates who had voted against the resolution. The chairman made a new ballyhoo appeal for unanimity. The Left Oppositionists who protested were thrown out of the hall. But even after they were ejected new hands were raised against the resolution. Nevertheless this did not prevent l'Humanite, French Stalinist organ, from declaring that the vote was unanimous.

**Results of the Masquerade**

The Congress has succeeded neither in improving the relation of the party to the masses, nor in sinking its roots among them nor in raising the fighting power of the party and the masses. The Congress was a party gathering and its results are already apparent.

The problem of Fascism and the struggle of the workers against it remains before the international working class. The Pleyel parade has not only not solved any of these problems but has augmented the confusion. The Left Opposition through its struggle against the criminal Stalinist policy alone can solve these problems.

From *La Verite*, organ of the French Left Opposition